



**From:** [Adrian Dale](#)  
**To:** ["Morgan Scott"](#)  
**Cc:** [dhenson@chelveston-renewable-energy.co.uk](mailto:dhenson@chelveston-renewable-energy.co.uk); ["David Wykes"](#); ["Roger Hepher"](#); [chair@ndp.chelveston.org.uk](mailto:chair@ndp.chelveston.org.uk); [Clerk@chelveston.org.uk](mailto:Clerk@chelveston.org.uk)  
**Subject:** NDP-S020b-CRE-Residential & Employment Opportunities  
**Date:** 25 February 2014 07:10:47

---

Dear Morgan,

The Working Party met last night and agreed that, on balance, the proposition [NDP-S020b](#) should be allowed to go forward to the residential vote. This decision does not imply that the Working Party endorses your proposition in any way. Indeed the Working Party was very critical of your engagement with the process. The Working Party opinion is as follows.

***This proposition has disrupted the carefully planned process that we have been following.***

***The original call for Aspirational Sites ([NDP-0089](#) – 4<sup>th</sup> September 2013) required that sites proposed for residential use should provide details on the number and mix of dwellings that might be accommodated on a site. Your client did not complete the call properly, and indeed in their submission ([NDP-S020](#)) suggested that the site was to be treated as a Renewable Energy Park, with no mention of residential use. All of the other residential submissions were forced to be specific on the potential number of dwellings so that residents could be given an indication of the overall scale of proposed development. Had proposition [NDP-S020b](#) been made at the first call, your client would also have been asked to provide additional detail and to undertake at least a cursory level of master planning before submission. 3 months was provided between the call and the close for this process. All of the other Aspirants engaged in extensive planning and consultation with residents during this period. Many attended the Working Party meetings to understand the process. They also participated in the public exhibition on the 14<sup>th</sup>/15<sup>th</sup> December 2013. The letter and email chain that constituted your original submission ([NDP-S020](#)) cannot be construed as effective engagement by your client.***

***Your second proposition ([NDP-S020b](#)) was tabled at a public consultation meeting (22<sup>nd</sup> January 2014) and was not followed up with additional detail for further consideration by residents. Although within the boundary of the original submission, it was clearly different in its scale, potential impact and intent. Furthermore, the lack of detail makes it difficult to assess whether the proposition has merit or falls within the policy constraints of the existing Core Spatial Strategy that is in operation in North Northamptonshire.***

***The Working Party considered ruling the second proposition as inadmissible given that insufficient information had been provided and that the required process had not been followed by the applicant. However, the spirit of the Neighbourhood Planning Process is one of direct engagement with residents. Therefore we have decided to allow your proposition to go forward for the public vote so that residents can decide whether they are prepared to support your proposition on the basis of the information you have provided. Clearly, if there is insufficient support from residents, then the proposition will fail and cannot go forward to the preferred options stage.***

The proposition pack and voting papers have now been sent for printing and the public vote will begin on 6<sup>th</sup> March 2014.

Regards

Cllr Adrian Dale  
Chairman of the Parish Council  
Chelveston-cum-Caldecott

-----  
EMail: [Adrian.Dale@Creatifica.com](mailto:Adrian.Dale@Creatifica.com)

Tel: +44 1933 622624

Mobile: +44 7850 570007

Paper: 21 Water Lane, Chelveston, Wellingborough, Northants, NN9 6AP, UK