



Our Village – Our Plan **Our Future**



Consultation **Statement**

As required by


The Neighbourhood
Planning (General)
Regulations 2012
Part 5, Section 15(2)

Submitted by Chelveston-
cum-Caldecott Parish
Council as the qualifying
body for the Chelveston-
cum-Caldecott
Neighbourhood Plan area;
comprising of the parish of
Chelveston-cum-Caldecott
shown on the plan
overleaf.

Consultation
Version 1.0

Neighbourhood Plan
2015-2035

August 2014



Chelveston-cum-Caldecott Neighbourhood Plan Area

Site 1: Moved from Stanwick Parish on 15th October 2014 and excluded from Plan Area

Site 2: Moved to Stanwick Parish on 15th October 2014 and excluded from Plan Area

Site 3: Moved from Higham Ferrers Parish on 15th October 2014 and excluded from Plan Area

The NDP comprises five documents which should be read together:

[Our Plan](#) – Details the policies that will apply to future development within the plan area.

[Basic Conditions Statement](#) – This details the plan's compliance with legal requirements.

[Formal Consultation Statement](#) – **This document** - This details how the plan was consulted upon through the various stages of its creation.

[Sustainability Appraisal](#) – This details how the policies conform to the national principles of sustainable development.

[References](#) – This details the documentary evidence collected and used to form the plan.

Published by Chelveston-cum-Caldecott Parish Council as the qualifying body entitled to submit a Neighbourhood Plan for its own Parish

Contact: Cllr Adrian Dale, 21 Water Lane, Chelveston, NN9 6AP

01933 622624 or 07850 570007 clerk@chelveston.org.uk

Available online as: <http://ndp.chelveston.org.uk/NDP-Formal-Consultation-Statement>

SECTION 1: Pre-plan consultation with residents

This Consultation Statement has been prepared to fulfil the legal obligations of the **Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012. Section 15(2) of Part 5 of the Regulations** sets out what a Consultation Statement should contain:

- (a) *contains details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;*
- (b) *explains how they were consulted;*
- (c) *summarises the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted;*
- (d) *describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.*

At the Annual Parish Assembly in May 2012 (Ref [31](#)) residents agreed that the Parish should begin the preparation of a Neighbourhood Plan.

An exhibition entitled “**Chelveston-cum-Caldecott Past, Present, Future**” was then held in the Village Hall during December 2012. This showed residents how the Village had grown and developed since the 1800s, and asked them to consider how the Village should develop by 2035.

This exhibition was followed up by a detailed Village survey in January 2013. There was a **44%** response rate to this survey. In previous Village Appraisals (conducted in 1995, 2002, 2004 and 2010 – [Ref [9](#)]) a significant proportion of residents were strongly opposed to any further development in the Village. The 2013 survey showed a marked shift in opinion. Less than 17% of households now oppose all development. The majority of households indicated that they would support up to 20% growth over 20 years.

At the Annual Parish Assembly 2013 (Ref [32](#)) it was agreed to distribute the results of the survey to all households and to call for volunteers for join a Neighbourhood Plan Working Party.

1.1 Membership of the NDP Working Party

Andrew Seaman	(Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale	
Cllr Jennifer Harwood	
Cllr Michael Izzard	
Cllr Melvyn Wooding	
Patricia Buckman	(Joined July 2013)
Janette Kightley Green	(Joined July 2013)
Caroline Robinson	(Resigned due to other commitments January 2014)
Paul Welton	(Resigned due to other commitments October 2013)
George Westcott	(Joined February 2014)
Jane Seaman	(non-voting Clerk)

1.2 Formulating the consultation process

In June 2013 the Working Party was set up, and one of their first jobs was to agree a **Statement of Community Involvement** and a **Community Engagement** Strategy [Refs [10](#), [11](#)].

The Working Party agreed that the whole process should be transparent and open. As far as was practical all meetings would be held in public. Residents and landowners would be invited to participate in a structured or unstructured way as appropriate to the meeting. From 1st July 2013, a cycle of monthly formal and informal (round table) meetings was organised. A register of attendees at each meeting was maintained and published after each meeting. The registers are shown in APPENDIX A. These public meetings continued until May 2014. At this point the Working Party began an 8-week drafting process to prepare the content of the plan. The Working Party then held numerous meetings in private to work through the many drafts.

Community engagement has been a key part of the project, and was heavily “front loaded” in order to generate the interest and momentum. The Working Party has issued newsletters to all households [Ref [21](#)] explaining the process and the progress made. These have been delivered on alternate months to the regular Parish Newsletter in which the Neighbourhood Plan was also featured. The aim of the Working Party was to ensure that all residents were aware of what was happening, and that they all had the opportunity to contribute and comment.

1.3 Determining the Neighbourhood Plan Area

In August 2013, the Working Party published their proposal for declaring a Neighbourhood Plan boundary [Ref [12](#)]. This was accepted by the Parish Council and submitted to East Northamptonshire Council on 2nd September 2013. It was then put through a formal 6-week consultation exercise by East Northamptonshire Council. No material comments were received during the formal consultation process. The Neighbourhood Plan Area Designation was approved on 12th February 2014.

1.4 Call for Aspirational Development Sites

In September 2013, a call for Aspirational Development Sites was sent to all land owners in the Parish [Ref [13](#)]. A general call was made to all residents through the Parish Newsletter in October 2013. 21 Aspirational Development Sites were submitted by the close of the call in November 2013. This consultation process is covered in Section 2.2.

1.5 Exhibition of Aspirational Development Sites

A 2-day exhibition of all the proposals was held during December 2013. The exhibition was prefaced by a series of historical maps showing how the Village had developed since the 19th century. Each phase of growth was shown, in order to demonstrate how the Village of today has evolved from two small settlements. The Neighbourhood development process was also explained by means of posters (Ref [33](#)).



Members of the Working Party were on hand throughout the 2-day exhibition to explain the process.

Each page of the landowner submissions were displayed as A3 posters, together with satellite views and photographs to help orientate residents.

42% of all households in the Parish attended this exhibition and 61% of these provided detailed feedback (Ref [34](#)). A list of the attendees is available in APPENDIX A.

1.6 Landowner/Resident Consultation Meetings

Details of all proposals submitted were circulated to all households in the Parish (Ref [21c](#)). In January and February 2014 six consultation events were held [Ref [14](#)] to review each of the development sites in depth. Landowners presented their proposals and faced detailed questioning from residents. Residents also had the opportunity to submit questions and comments online. Over 200 questions and comments were received (see <http://ndp.chelveston.org.uk/view>). The consultation meetings were all well attended and **43%** of households participated in them. Attendees at these events are listed in APPENDIX A. Details of the consultation process at these meetings are provided in Section 2.3.

1.7 Residents' Poll on Aspirational Development Sites

In March 2014, a poll was conducted of all registered electors in the Parish. The poll was conducted under a strict protocol with the Clerk of the Parish Council as returning officer, [Ref [15](#)]. This poll focused on the revised propositions [Ref [16](#)] put forward by landowners following the consultation meetings. Residents were asked to vote for the propositions that they supported (including reasons if possible), and to give their views on how the Village should develop over the next 20 years [Ref [17](#)].

Summary of voting on each proposition

Voting for Proposition	% full support	% cond. support	% full rejection	Decision
NDP-S004a-Barn Caldecott	84%	2%	11%	Preferred option 2015-2025
NDP-S013-JST-Higham-Road	72%	11%	13%	Preferred option 2015-2025
NDP-S001-Bidwell-Lane	60%	11%	25%	Preferred option 2026-2035
NDP-S004b-Cottage-Caldecott	66%	3%	27%	Preferred option 2015-2025
NDP-S007-Water-Lane	62%	3%	30%	Preferred option 2015-2025
NDP-S002-Kimbolton-Road	49%	5%	41%	Preferred option 2026-2035
NDP-S006-Raunds-Road/Sawyers Crescent	36%	17%	43%	Preferred option 2026-2035
NDP-S005-St-Georges-Row	37%	12%	45%	Insufficient support
NDP-S018b-Bidwell-Lane	41%	8%	46%	Insufficient support
NDP-S015-Water-Lane	44%	4%	47%	Insufficient support
NDP-S018a-Caldecott-Road	41%	6%	49%	Insufficient support
NDP-S017-Britten Close	34%	10%	51%	Rejected
NDP-S019a-Chelston-Rise (35 houses)	30%	15%	51%	Rejected
NDP-S014-Chelston-Rise (6-8 houses)	30%	5%	62%	Rejected
NDP-S008-Raunds-Road	21%	8%	66%	Rejected
NDP-S021-Duchy-Field	12%	13%	72%	Rejected
NDP-S020a-Renewables	13%	6%	78%	Rejected
NDP-S020b-New-housing/employment	8%	6%	83%	Rejected
NDP-S019b -Chelston-Rise (70 houses)	4%	3%	91%	Rejected

80% of residents and **82%** of households participated in this poll. 3,484 individual comments were made during voting, allowing the Working Party to build up a very clear picture of what residents want to see. The criteria used to analyse the results were agreed and published [Ref [35](#)].

The propositions selected as preferred options were those that were able to command majority support of residents *if, and only if*, the propositions were modified to address the concerns of those residents giving only conditional support. Seven propositions secured majority support in this way and were incorporated into the Neighbourhood Plan.

1.8 Consultation with residents on the results of the poll

The results of the poll were presented at the Annual Parish Assembly in April 2014 [Refs [18](#), [19](#)] and a summary report was distributed to all households following this [Ref [20](#)]. The Annual Parish Assembly accepted the recommendations of the Working Party as to which propositions went forward as preferred options for development in the timescales specified.

1.9 Residents' consultation on the Draft Neighbourhood Plan

An informal consultation period of 6 weeks started on the 1st August 2014 for all land owners and residents.

As the complete draft plan (over 120 pages for all the documents) would have cost £2,500 to print a copy for each household, an alternative approach was taken.

1. All households and land owners were notified via a newsletter that the plan was available for review on the internet.
2. Loan copies of the full documentation were provided for a week for those households or land owners wishing to review a copy in detail.
3. A consultation evening was then held, during which plans were made available for study and presentations were made of the key features of the plan by the working party.


1.10 Comments from the informal consultation period

These will be included in this section once the consultation period has concluded.

SECTION 2: Landowner Consultation

2.1 Land holdings review

A comprehensive review of all landownership in the Parish was undertaken using local sources and the land registry. A map of all major land holdings was created and verified (Ref [29](#)). Some of the landowners reside outside the Parish, and a number of land holdings were found to be owned by corporate entities. All were successfully traced and invited to participate in the consultation. A list of the landowner consultees is provided in APPENDIX B.



2.2 Call for Aspirational Development Sites

A personalised Call for Aspirational Sites (Ref [13](#)) was sent to all of the major land owners inviting them propose sites for development (housing or commercial).

A copy of the call was also delivered to all houses in the Village which had potential in-fill sites. A general call was issued to all other residents in the October 2013 Parish Newsletter.

Twenty five sites were proposed (Ref [30](#)), with some of these being alternative schemes. If all realised, these schemes would have resulted in the development of 145-192 new dwellings. This would have resulted in the Parish growing by 65-87%. This would significantly exceed the level of growth to be expected in a rural area by the North Northamptonshire Core Spatial Strategy (**CSS**). The **CSS** aims to focus growth in the existing towns and in sustainable urban extensions. This level of growth also

significantly exceeded the level that residents indicated they would accept in the January 2013 survey (Ref [9e](#)). Here residents indicated that 20% growth over 20 years would be broadly acceptable.

2.3 Landowner/Resident Consultation Meetings

Each of the consultation meetings were well publicised, first with a general newsletter (Ref [21c](#)), then e-mail reminders, and finally leaflet drops to each of the most affected properties before each meeting. The agendas were published on all Parish noticeboards and on the NDP website. An example is shown below:

Notice of a Public Consultation Event <u>Meeting: C001</u> 15th January 2014	
<p>A Public Consultation Event will be held at 6:45 pm on Wednesday 15th January 2014 in the Chelveston Village Hall to review the Aspirational Site submissions for <u>Caldecott</u>. All residents of the Parish are encouraged to attend to hear land owners or their representatives present their proposals for the future of Caldecott.</p>	
<p>Venue: Chelveston Village Hall, Caldecott Road, Chelveston, NN9 6AT (Light refreshments will be available)</p>	
18:30	Village Hall will be open for land owners or representatives to set up. We will print out the submission at A3 size and make it available on a display board. Landowners can bring other additional material to support your proposal which can be displayed if space allows on the display board or on an adjacent table.
18:45	Village Hall will be open for residents to view the proposals in detail and to have 1:1 conversations with the land owners or representatives
19:20	Call to order, top up refreshments
19:25	Chairman's Introduction
19:30	<p>Presentation of aspirational sites – each consisting of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 minute (<u>max</u>) presentation from land owner/representative <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No projection facilities but hand outs can be distributed if necessary ○ No overrun allowed • 10 minutes of Q&A from the audience moderated by the Chairman <p>Presentation order will be:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. NDP-S001 – Duchy of Lancaster, replacement of Duchy Farm Barns, Bidwell Lane, with 5-6 houses 2. NDP-S004 – Chapman – Barn conversion and 1 new cottage 3. NDP-S010 & NDP-S011 – Keith Carr - Grain Dryer, Bidwell Lane and behind Smithy Bidwell Corner, 1 house each site 4. NDP-S018 – Mommersteeg – Field on corner of Caldecott Road and Bidwell Lane – 5-7 houses/bungalows
20:30	Land owners/representatives/family leave the event
20:35	Moderated discussion of all the proposals by residents and the Working Party Members present
21:15	Chairman's summary and close

Neighbourhood Development Plan – Consultation Statement

All questions raised during the session were recorded, together with answers provided during and after the event. The questions and answers were posted to the NDP Website, allowing other residents to view the responses and to add additional questions. In total 229 comments and questions were posted by residents and answered by the land owners. The questions and answers for one of the preferred sites (NDP-S001 – Bidwell Lane, Caldecott) is shown below.

Questions raised and answered for site NDP-S001 – http://ndp.chelveston.org.uk/view/NDP-S001			
Comment no.:	Q029	Date:	15/01/2014 20:00
Comment by:	Session NDP-C001	Post code:	NN9 6AR - Caldecott
<p>C. It is really important that the Duchy engages properly with us. Their redevelopment of Duchy Farm has started a ball rolling which could change the character of Caldecott completely - we need to ask them to reply within a very short time frame.</p> <p>A. Again the Duchy apologises for a lack of engagement so far but hopes that the answers now provided are useful at this stage.</p>			
Comment no.:	Q028	Date:	15/01/2014 20:00
Comment by:	Session NDP-C001	Post code:	NN9 6AW - Duchy Close
<p>C. Bidwell Lane is called a lane for a reason, with all this building proposed it would need to be widened properly to a full width 2 lane road and would then become a street. Is this what we want?</p> <p>A. The Duchy is not convinced that widening the road is necessary for the small developments proposed and indeed believe that Bidwell Lane should remain a small country lane.</p>			
Comment no.:	Q027	Date:	15/01/2014 20:00
Comment by:	Session NDP-C001	Post code:	NN9 6AR - Caldecott
<p>C. Bidwell Lane is already a rat run taking much more traffic since the re-opening of Chelston Rise. The road is just not big enough for more traffic. If it was made wider then this could actually make the problem even worse.</p> <p>A. The Duchy agrees that the road should not be widened as this would encourage through traffic rather than traffic to serve the properties and businesses along Bidwell Lane.</p>			
Comment no.:	Q026	Date:	15/01/2014 20:00
Comment by:	Session NDP-C001	Post code:	NN9 6AD - Sawyers Crescent
<p>Q. Who would oversee proposed development - would it be the Duchy or would they sell the whole field to a developer who would then probably try to come back and argue for the whole field to be developed.</p> <p>A. The Duchy is not in a position to say how it would manage the development of the site at this stage but is clear that they are only proposing the development of the front 1/3 of the site which is approximately 1acre (confirmed by Google Maps measurement)</p>			

Neighbourhood Development Plan – Consultation Statement

Comment no.:	Q025	Date:	15/01/2014 20:00
Comment by:	Session NDP-C001	Post code:	NN9 6AX - Foot Lane
<p>Q. What exactly is meant by "high quality" dwellings. This is a sensitive site near a listed building and it is very important to be specific on type and materials being proposed.</p> <p>A. The Duchy believes that this site would be best suited to a very small number of high specification dwellings on large plots to complement the Duchy farm development. It is too early in the process to be more specific but it would be in everyone's interest for any properties to be in keeping with what is already there.</p>			
Comment no.:	Q024	Date:	15/01/2014 20:00
Comment by:	Session NDP-C001	Post code:	NN9 6AR - Caldecott
<p>Q. With the number of houses being proposed along Bidwell Lane, will any consideration be given to the upgrading of the road. At the moment it is very narrow and there are no footways. Who would pay for this?</p> <p>A. The Duchy would not consider upgrading the road other than what was required by the Highways Authority as part of any application. It is a country lane at the moment and changing it from a lane to a wider road would change the character of the Village. The road near the barns is wider anyway and shouldn't be a problem. It could accommodate a footway outside the proposed development if required. Consideration could be given to passing places further down the road but as other comments have pointed out, improving the road would encourage greater use of it.</p>			
Comment no.:	Q001	Date:	15/01/2014 20:00
Comment by:	Session NDP-C001	Post code:	NN9 6AR - Caldecott
<p>Q. As the Duchy of Lancaster are not present and have so far not answered questions put to them, how can residents form an opinion on what might be proposed. There is currently a lack of detail.</p> <p>A. The Duchy apologises for not engaging fully so far, one of the internal land agents responsible left the organisation and hasn't yet been replaced. The Duchy has not done any detailed work on this proposal and probably won't do any further work at this stage other than to register that this is a site that could be developed if it is accepted in the Neighbourhood Plan.</p>			

All the comments and questions raised have been archived and are available on the NDP Website at <http://ndp.chelveston.org.uk/view>.

2.4 Announcing the results of the poll to land owners

Prior to the Annual Parish Assembly, each landowner was issued with a detailed report which showed the votes cast in the poll for their proposition and any comments that were made. The full results were also published for analysis by the public [Refs [18](#)]. Landowners were given the opportunity to attend the public Working Party meeting where the results were discussed and approved [Ref [36](#)]. Questions were raised by some land owners regarding comments made in the poll by residents. They suggested that some of the comments were factually incorrect, indicating that some residents had not understood the propositions. The Working Party agreed that no weight would be given to factually incorrect comments in their analysis. However, the Working Party was also clear that such comments could not affect the results of the vote. It would not be possible or reasonable to discount a vote based upon whether a resident was considered to have understood a proposition.

2.5 Landowner consultation on the Draft Neighbourhood Plan

An informal consultation period of 6 weeks started on the 1st August 2014 for all land owners and residents.

As the complete draft plan (over 120 pages for all the documents) would have cost £2,500 to print a copy for each household, an alternative approach was taken.

1. All households and land owners were notified by newsletter that the plan was available for review on the internet.
2. Loan copies of the full documentation were provided for a week for those households or land owners wishing to review a copy in detail.
3. A consultation evening was then held during which plans were made available for study and presentations were made of the key features of the plan by the working party.

2.6 Comments from the informal consultation period

These will be included in this section once the consultation period has concluded.

SECTION 3: Consultation on the pre-examination plan

At the end of the informal consultation period a two week review and revision will be undertaken and the revised pre-examination plan will be sent for formal consultation to residents, land owners and statutory consultees.

APPENDIX A. List of participants at NDP Events

Attendees at First Public Meeting 1st July 2013

Working Party Members: Cllr Adrian Dale (Chair pro tem)
Cllr Jenny Harwood
Cllr Michael Izzard
Caroline Robinson
Andrew Seaman
Cllr Melvyn Wooding
Paul Welton

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman
Clerk to the Parish Council: Mark Hunter

Public: Pieter Mommersteeg
Patricia Buckman
Barry Davies (representing Carr Brothers (Farmers) Ltd)

Attendees at First Round Table Meeting 11th July 2013

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Cllr Michael Izzard
Caroline Robinson
Cllr Melvyn Wooding
Paul Welton
Patricia Buckman
Janette Kightley-Green

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Resident: Pieter Mommersteeg

Attendees at Second Public Meeting 5th August 2013

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Cllr Jenny Harwood
Caroline Robinson
Paul Welton
Patricia Buckman
Janette Kightley-Green

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Public: Roger Jeffcoat
Gillian Jeffcoat
George Westcott
Barry Davies (representing Carr Brothers (Farmers) Ltd)

Attendees at Second Round Table Meeting 22nd August 2013

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Caroline Robinson
Cllr Melvyn Wooding
Paul Welton
Janette Kightley-Green

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Residents: Pieter Mommersteeg
John Eildred
Brenda Eildred
Sarah Stopps
Keith Oliver
Jonathan Robinson (representing Keith Oliver)
Barry Davies (representing Carr Brothers (Farmers) Ltd)

Attendees at Third Public Meeting 2nd September 2013

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Cllr Jenny Harwood
Cllr Michael Izzard
Patricia Buckman
Cllr Melvyn Wooding
Paul Welton
Janette Kightley-Green

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Public: George Westcott
Pieter Mommersteeg
Barry Davies (representing Carr Brothers (Farmers) Ltd)
Liam Russell (representing William Pears Group)

Attendees at Third Round Table Meeting 19th September 2013

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Cllr Michael Izzard
Cllr Melvyn Wooding

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Residents: Pieter Momersteeg
John Eildred
Brenda Eildred
George Westcott
Liam Russell (representing William Pears Group)

Attendees at Fourth Public Meeting 7th October 2013

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Cllr Michael Izzard
Cllr Melvyn Wooding
Patricia Buckman
Janette Kightley-Green

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Public: George Westcott
Pieter Mommersteeg
Barry Davies (representing Carr Brothers (Farmers) Ltd)
Jim Stopps

Attendees at Fourth Round Table Meeting 17th October 2013

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Cllr Michael Izzard
Cllr Melvyn Wooding
Caroline Robinson
Patricia Buckman

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Residents: Pieter Mommersteeg
Brenda Eildred
John Eildred

Attendees at Fifth Round Table Meeting 14th November 2013

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Cllr Michael Izzard
Cllr Jenny Harwood
Patricia Buckman

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Residents: Pieter Mommersteeg
Helen Challinor
Brenda Eildred
John Eildred
George Westcott
Liam Russell (representing William Pears Group)

Attendees at Fifth Public Meeting 2nd December 2013

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale (Acting Clerk)
Cllr Michael Izzard

Neighbourhood Development Plan – Consultation Statement

Cllr Melvyn Wooding
Patricia Buckman
Janette Kightley-Green
Caroline Robinson

Public: George Westcott
Pieter Mommersteeg
Barry Davies (representing Carr Brothers (Farmers) Ltd)
David Bagley
Mike Woolhead

Attendees at Sixth Round Table Meeting 12th December 2013

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Cllr Michael Izzard
Cllr Jenny Harwood
Patricia Buckman

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

This meeting focussed on preparing the exhibition materials, no residents participated.

Attendees at Exhibition Weekend 14/15th December 2013

Pieter Mommersteeg	Matthew Dale	Steven Ranson
Patricia Mommersteeg	Patricia Oliver	Bob Vega
Penny Hughes	Keith Oliver	David Hackett
John Britten	Scott Lefley	John Cunningham
Phil Watts	Julia Lefley	Susan Cunningham
John Eldred	Sara Stopps	Jayne Pearson
Brenda Eldred	Jim Stopps	Gordon Yule
Karl Goodman	Sophie Stopps	Justin Beveridge
Louise Coleman	Carol Parsons	Sandra Stephens
George Westcott	Barry Parsons	Julie Stromdale
Janette Kightley	Liz Kelly	Cliff Stromdale
Malcolm Russen	Emma Wantling	Martin Stephens
Mary-Anne Burch	Mark Hunter	Richard Thorncroft
Phil Baxter	Jane Seaman	Jennie Clark
Gary Fridd	Shirley Russen	Jane O'Boerne
Janine Sharp	Dave Kightley	Robert Kelly
Michelle Abbott	Patricia Buckman	John Hegarty
Steve Abbott	Mike McCormack	Sharen Hegarty
Jean Izzard	Keith Carr	Marie Coote
Christine Clay	Nikki Carr	Guy Bennett
Roger Clay	Mick Izzard	Philippa Bennett
Rosemary Wooding	Stephen Morris	Simon Honour
Gary Hewitt	Gyles Smart	Steve Clark
Caroline Hewitt	Sharron Smart	Christ Abbott
Gillian Jeffcoat	S.E. Hands	Laura Abbott

Neighbourhood Development Plan – Consultation Statement

Deborah W Kershaw	Janellan Reedman	Geoff Hammett
Keith W Kershaw	P Keen	Lydia Williams
Andrew Kemp	H Palmer	Darren Wilkie
Sue Hunter	Stephanie Chadwick	Helen Sillitto
Ray Daniells	Steven Chadwick	Edward Mackie
David Bagley	Diane Dean	Wendy Williams
Patrick Logue	Tracey Watts	James Smith
Jaqui Logue	Kim Watts	Helen Baxter
Alison Holley	Gordon Brooks	Roger Jeffcoat
Jenny Harwood	Helen Challinor	Victoria Williams
Sam Woodward	Allen Freeman	Adrian Dale
James Pentelow	Barry Davies (Carr Bros.)	Andrew Seaman
Glenn Harwood	Vanessa Blane	Melvyn Wooding
Mike Woolhead	Robert Blane	Caroline Robinson
Jennie Ranson	Kevin Charles	Rosemary Daniells
Sean Reedman	Vanessa Charles	

Attendees at Sixth Public Meeting 6th January 2014

Working Party Members:	Andrew Seaman (Chair)
	Clr Adrian Dale
	Clr Jenny Harwood
	Clr Michael Izzard
	Clr Melvyn Wooding
	Janette Kightley-Green
Clerk to the Working Party:	Jane Seaman
Public:	George Westcott
	Barry Davies (representing Carr Brothers (Farmers) Ltd)
	David Bagley
	Mike Woolhead
	Guy Bennett
	Simon Honour

Attendees at Public Consultation Meeting 15th January 2014

Jane Seaman	Melvyn Wooding	Scott Fett
Andrew Seaman	Mark Hunter	Pieter Mommersteeg
Mick Izzard	Sue Farmer	Patricia Mommersteeg
Randall Cuthbert	Keith Carr	Michael Stephenson
Gillian Jeffcoat	Justin Beveridge	S.E. Hands
Roger Jeffcoat	Sue Chapman	Jennie Clark
Gyles Smart	Paul Chapman	Steve Clark
Sharron Smart	Pete Smith	Horace Eady
Adrian Dale	Chris Pentland	David Bagley
Janette Kightley-Green	Kaye Pentland	Mike Woolhead
Dave Kightley	Susan Wingfield	
Rosemary Wooding	Chris Spencer	

Attendees at Public Consultation Meeting 22nd January 2014

Neighbourhood Development Plan – Consultation Statement

Sue Hands	Steve Clark	Malcolm Russen
Tricia Buckman	Tracey Watts	Justin Beveridge
Adrian Dale	Phil Watts	Liz Kelly
Jane Seaman	Kaye Pentland	Chris Pentland
Andrew Seaman	Melvyn Wooding	Mark Hunter
Wayne Sullivan	Rosemary Wooding	Scott Fett
Karl Willis	Mick Izzard	Vanessa Blane
Donna Sullivan	Gill Jeffcoat	Robert Blane
Tracey Willis	Roger Jeffcoat	Barry Parsons
Jayne Pearson	Claire Whittacker	Carol Parsons
Gordon Yule	John Bawden	Pieter Mommersteeg
P Novotna	Caroline Sykes	Patricia Mommersteeg
S Dani	Andrew Sykes	Gyles Smart
Sandra Mitchell	Andy Kemp	
Jennie Clark	Sarah Stopps	

Attendees at Public Consultation Meeting 23rd January 2014

Caroline Hewitt	Roger Jeffcoat	David Bagley
Gary Hewitt	Jennie Clark	Mike Woolhead
James Scott Walters	Steve Clark	Ray Dyer
Jim Stopps	Penny Hughes	Patricia Mommersteeg
Randall Cuthbert	John Britten	Pieter Mommersteeg
Brenda Eildred	Rosemary Wooding	Michelle Abbott
John Eildred	Melvyn Wooding	Andrew Seaman
Karl Goodman	Horace Eady	Andy Kemp
Lori Coleman	Julie Stromdale	Georgie Kemp
Janellan Reedman	Jane Seaman	Sharen Hegarty
Sean Reedman	Barry Parsons	Ray Knight
Ellie Reedman	Carol Parsons	Keith Carr
Tricia Buckman	Ray Daniels	Adrian Dale
Gill Jeffcoat	Rosemary Daniels	

Attendees at Public Consultation Meeting 3rd February 2014

Richard Thorncroft	Brian Buckman	Scott Lefley
Emma Wantling	Penny Hughes	Michelle Abbott
Abbey Wantling	John Britten	Stephen Chadwick
Simon Wantling	Melvyn Wooding	Stephanie Chadwick
Jenny Harwood	Ray Knight	Ray Dyer
Lydia Wilkie	Laura Abbott	Darren Redgate
Darren Wilkie	Chris Abbott	Lyn Redgate
Jane Seaman	George Westcott	Mick Izzard
Andrew Seaman	Kay Westcott	Sharen Hegarty
Roger Jeffcoat	Chris Marshall	Geoff Palmer
Gillian Jeffcoat	Michele Essig	Roger Nadin
Maria Farrow	Allen Freeman	Megan Nadin
Tina Whitney	Sarah Stopps	Pieter Mommersteeg
Roger Clay	Jim Stopps	Patricia Mommersteeg
Christine Clay	Jennie Clark	Andy Kemp
Tricia Buckman	Steve Clark	Bob Vega

Neighbourhood Development Plan – Consultation Statement

Rosemary Vega

Mark Hunter

Adrian Dale

Attendees at Public Consultation Meeting 5th February 2014

Andrew Seaman
Jane Seaman
Richard Thorncroft
Penny Hughes
John Britten
George Westcott
Tricia Buckman
Jenny Harwood
Mary Murphy
Roger Jeffcoat

Gillian Jeffcoat
Allen Freeman
Melvyn Wooding
Michelle Abbott
Michele Essig
Steve Clark
Jennie Clark
Roger Clay
Geoff Palmer
Sharen Hegarty

Andy Kemp
Charlie Kemp
Georgie Kemp
Sue Hands
Carol Parsons
Barry Parsons
Adrian Dale
Mick Izzard

Attendees at Public Consultation Meeting 6th February 2014

Andrew Seaman
Jane Seaman
Mike Woolhead
David Bagley
Marie Coote
John Britten
Penny Hughes
Adrian Dale
Keith Oliver
Patricia Oliver
Jenny Harwood
George Westcott

Tricia Buckman
Roger Jeffcoat
Margaret Deards
Dave Pannell
Melvyn Woodin
Victoria Austin
Steve Twomey
Michelle Abbott
Keith Carr
Ellie Reedman
Janellan Reedman
Geoff Palmer

Sharen Hegarty
Andy Kemp
Georgie Kemp
Pieter Mommersteeg
Gyles Smart
Sharron Smart
Simon Honour
Andy Robinson
Caroline Robinson
Alison Holley
Gillian Jeffcoat
Mick Izzard

Attendees at Seventh Public Meeting 3rd March 2014

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Patricia Buckman
Cllr Melvyn Wooding
George Westcott

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Public: Pieter Mommersteeg
Dave Pannell

Attendees at Eighth Public Meeting 7th April 2014

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Patricia Buckman
Cllr Melvyn Wooding
George Westcott
Cllr Mick Izzard
Cllr Jenny Harwood
Janette Kightley-Green

Neighbourhood Development Plan – Consultation Statement

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Public: Pieter Mommersteeg
Sharen Hegarty
Jennie Clark
Sarah Stopps
Jim Stopps
Sue Hands
Maggie Hands
John Eildred
Steve Craythorn
Simon Richardson (Representing Steve Craythorn)

This meeting declared the results of the Neighbourhood Plan Village Poll and agreed the preferred options for development to be included in the plan.

Attendees at Ninth Working Party Meeting 7th May 2014

Working Party Members: Andrew Seaman (Chair)
Cllr Adrian Dale
Patricia Buckman
Cllr Melvyn Wooding
George Westcott
Cllr Mick Izzard
Cllr Jenny Harwood
Janette Kightley-Green

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Public: None

This meeting agreed the structure of the Neighbourhood Plan and the process for drafting it during May and June 2014.

Members of the NDP Mailing List

Adrian Palfreeman	David Hackett	James Pentelow
Alison Holley	Diane Dean	James Scott
Andy Kemp	DW Kershaw	James Smith
Arthur Wright	Emma Ousbey	Janine Sharp
Ashley Fett	Emma Wantling	Jason Kew
Barry and	Garry Fridd	Jennie Clark
Barry Davies	Gary Hewitt	Jennie Ranson
Bob Kelly	Geoff Hammett	Jerry Begej
Caroline Robinson	Gordon Brooks	John Eildred
Chris Abbott	Guy Bennett	John & Sue Cunningham
Chris Pentland	Hargrave Parish Council	Justin Beveridge
Chris Spencer	Helen Challinor	Keith Oliver
Cliff Stromdale	Helen Palmer	Keith Carr
Dave Pannell	Helen Sillitto	Kevin Owens
David Bagley	Horace Eady	Kim Watts

Neighbourhood Development Plan – Consultation Statement

Laura Abbott
Liam Russell
Liz Kelly
Lori Coleman
Lorraine Thomas
Lydia Williams
Malcolm Russen
Marie Coote
Mark Hunter
Mary Murphy
Melchbourne Parish Council
Michael Farrow
Michael Smith
Michelle Abbott

Mike McCormack
Mike Woolhead
Patrick Logue
Paul Welton
Penny Hughes
Phil Watts
Philippa Bennett
Pieter Mommersteeg
Ray Daniells
Ray Dyer
Richard Thorncroft
Roger and
Roger Jeffcoat
Sam Woodward

Sarah Stopps
Scott Lefley
Sean Reedman
Sharen Hegarty
Simon Honour
Stephen Chadwick
Steve Morris
Steve Twomey
Steve Craythorn
Sue Chapman
Sue Hands
Tracy Watts
Vanessa Blane

APPENDIX B. List of landowners consulted

Resident Landowners

Ann & Stuart Green	Maria & Michael Farrow
Brenda & John Eildred	Marie Coote
Christopher & Judith Spencer	Michael Stephenson
David & Monica Bagley	Patricia & Pieter Mommersteeg
Jacqueline & Michael Woolhead	Raymond Knight
John & Susan Chapman	Stuart Carr (for Carr Brothers (Farmers) Ltd & Greenfield Properties UK Ltd)
Keith & Patricia Oliver	
Keith Carr (for Carr Farmers Ltd)	

Non-resident Landowners

Patrick Mould (Higham Ferrers)
Roger Norman (Ringstead)
Stephen Craythorn (Little Addington)

Corporate Landowners

Canon Grant Brockhouse, The incumbent priest of the Parish Church of St John the Baptist
Charles Wells Ltd
Chelveston Renewable Energy Ltd
JST Fork Trucks Ltd
The Duchy of Lancaster
The Educational Foundation of Abigail Bailey and Ann Levett
The James & Thomas Sawyer Charity
Wildacre Care Services Ltd
William Pears Group (for Area Estates Ltd)