



Chelveston-cum-Caldecott Parish Council

Northamptonshire

Neighbourhood Development Plan Working Party



Questions and Responses from Consultation Meeting NDP-C007

Attendees

34 residents from the Parish attending the meeting, including Parish Councillors and members of the Working Party (see the register of attendees [NDP-C007R](#))

Presentation

Andrew Seaman and Adrian Dale presented a summary of the Neighbourhood Plan ([NDP-0200](#)). Questions or comments were invited from the audience.

Questions or comments

Question from:	Question	Response from Adrian Dale
John Eildred	In connection with NDP-S006 - I would have thought only part of Sawyers Crescent could be widened.	Yes St Georges Row could not be. However, it is important that we address the pavement parking in Sawyers Crescent and the narrow access. There will still be a problem in St Georges Row, and we may have to consider one-way access.
Carole Nutt	Parking in the centre of the Village on High Street is a serious problem, can anything be done in the long term?	There is the TRF policy in the NDP in which we have identified 5 new formal new spaces in front of the pub. We would then consider double yellow lines on High Street in the areas where parking is technically illegal already.
Horace Eady	What about using part of the grass next to the War Memorial.	We have looked at this. This will gain 4 spaces but will lose 3, a net gain of 1 whilst spoiling the memorial.
Patricia Mommersteeg	In connection with NDP-S013 - JST is a bad place for entry onto the main road - will this change? What about using Foot Lane?	JST have 3 legal entrances at the moment and are giving up two of them. The entrance proposed is considered by highways to be the safest. There will be only foot access from Foot Lane
Brenda Eildred	We desperately need traffic calming. The traffic is getting worse and walking around the village is now a problem	The TRF policy covers traffic calming measures including speed measurement and road narrowing.
Patricia Mommersteeg	In connection with NDP-S019 - How do the owners feel about the reduced development at Chelston Rise. Surely we cannot stop them developing?	They have not yet responded but we have no wish to prevent appropriate development, which in this case is 20% additional housing in the locations specified on the site.

NDP Working Party – Public Consultation Meeting Minutes – NDP-C007

Justin Beveridge	Does the designation of land as an Asset of Community Value mean that the land will never be able to be built on?	Assets of Community Value have a marker on their record at the Land Registry which means that the community has a chance to buy the land or property before it is sold or developed. It acts to delay development and can also be a material consideration when planning inspectors decide whether permission should be granted on a site.
Justin Beveridge	Could we designate the field on the left when coming in from Raunds and the one on the Kimbolton Road as a Local Green Space?	These fields are clearly open countryside and arable land – it would be unusual to designate them in this way as there are no paths across this land and they are not used for amenity purposes. They do define the shape of Chelveston but have protection from several other policies, both in the NDP and at the level of the Core Spatial Strategy.
Horace Eady	Chelston Stars did play football in Keith's field in 1947 so it has been an amenity field. The pub field has also been used continuously as an amenity field since the war.	As usual Horace is a mine of invaluable local knowledge – we'll be back for details!
Patricia Mommersteeg	What about Duchy field shouldn't this be designated as a Local Green Space. It has several paths across it. It could have a playing field on it or be a site for a cemetery.	This can be considered as part of the consultation.
Justin Beveridge	In connection with policy REF1 - What about the old buildings on the airfield, don't they have permission for housing green diesel generators?	These buildings have permission to house transformer units. The generators were to be housed in the centre of the site.
David Bagley	Does that mean you will withdraw reference to the Cemetery extension	The evidence and consultation responses suggest that this will be the likely outcome.
Gyles Smart	In connection with LGS18 – I saw you have golf club extension in the plan, why is that? Fair point, we could end up like Milton Keynes Village – a small oasis in the middle of a big development.	This land forms a natural boundary to the Parish and could be important in creating a buffer against future developments which may extend from Higham Ferrers or Rushden. The sustainable urban extension of Rushden has recently been "given the nod" and is likely to add 3,500 houses to Rushden, some within 800m of the Parish boundary.
Patricia Mommersteeg	In connection with NDP-S001 the paddock at the rear has not been designated as Local Green Space – why?	There are no paths across it and some of it is currently used as a silage clamp. It has no amenity use at the moment.

NDP Working Party – Public Consultation Meeting Minutes – NDP-C007

Horace Eady	How close does the Stanwick boundary come to us?	AD talked through the position of the boundaries as they will be in October 2014 after the boundary changes.
Gyles Smart	With all the development in Raunds, we will need a roundabout or a ring road to reduce the impact on the Village!	We are certainly concerned about the impact of Darsdale Farm.
Brenda Eildred	How are you going to keep people interested in the plan	That is hard to do but we will try!
Sharron Smart	Can I just say thank you to you, Andrew and the committee for the hard work you have put into this. I know some communities haven't bothered and are regretting it. I am glad we are doing something!	Thanks!