



Chelveston-cum-Caldecott Parish Council

Northamptonshire

Neighbourhood Development Plan Working Party



Minutes Working Party Meeting

7th April 2014

Meeting: NDP-M008

Present: Working Party Members Andrew Seaman (Chair)
 Cllr Adrian Dale
 Patricia Buckman
 Cllr Melvyn Wooding
 George Westcott
 Cllr Mick Izzard
 Cllr Jenny Harwood
 Janette Kightley-Green

Clerk to the Working Party: Jane Seaman

Public: 10 members of the public: see register [NDP-M008R](#)

1 Open Forum (Max 15 minutes)

The Chair explained that this was an opportunity for members of the public to speak on any agenda item for a maximum of 3 minutes before the meeting started to request formally that the Working Party consider a specific item at their next meeting.

Pieter Mommersteeg said he had questions to be dealt with in section 7

2 Welcome and introductions

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting.

3 Apologies received

No apologies

4 Declarations of interest

Under section 6.2 of the Terms of Reference – the following interests were declared:

All members - No interests declared

5 Approval of the Minutes

The minutes of meeting [NDP-M007](#) were approved with no amendments

6 Receipt of reports from roundtable and other meetings

No reports

NDP Working Party – Public Meeting Minutes – NDP-M008

7 Review of vote on Land Owner propositions

7.1 Formal adoption of the results ([NDP-RESULTS](#))

Cllr Adrian Dale told the meeting that 82% of households and 80% of individuals voted and therefore the results from the vote give the NDP Working Party a clear mandate. The Chair then confirmed that no questions or queries were raised relating to the accuracy of the results and requested that they be formally adopted along with the methodology around the analysis of Q6.

Proposed: Cllr Adrian Dale Seconded: Cllr Melvyn Wooding Decision: Unanimous

7.2 Adoption of the criteria for analysis ([NDP-0177](#))

Cllr Adrian Dale and the Chair Andrew Seaman explained document [NDP-0177](#) (Criteria for evaluating the results of the poll on Land Owner Propositions) which details the reasoning behind the analysis of the data contained in [NDP-RESULTS](#). This document details the framework against which each site can be evaluated for inclusion in the Neighbourhood Plan as follows:

1. Propositions with a clear majority of **Full Support** (i.e. more than 50% of voters supporting them) would go forward as preferred development sites into the Neighbourhood Plan unless:
 - a. External policy constraints (ENC, NNJPU, NPPF) mean that the adoption of a particular site as a preferred option would be considered “unsound” by an inspector.
2. Propositions with a clear majority of **Full Rejection** (i.e. more than 50% of voters rejecting them) would be excluded from the plan unless:
 - a. There are external policy constraints (ENC, NNJPU, NPPF) which would favour the development and make a non-sense of trying to reject it.
3. Propositions which have more than 50% when combining the votes in **Full Support** and **Conditional Support** would be considered for adoption under the following circumstances:
 - a. Where external policy constraints (ENC, NNJPU, NPPF) mean that the rejection of a particular site as a preferred option would be considered “unsound” by an inspector.
 - b. And provided that the Land Owner is prepared to address enough of the modifications suggested in the comments made by voters, such that majority support could be achieved.

The document also highlights other policy considerations to be taken into account following comments by residents.

The Chair requested that [NDP-0177](#) be formally adopted.

Proposed: Cllr Adrian Dale Seconded: Cllr Melvyn Wooding Decision: Unanimous

The landowner of aspirational sites [NDP-S019a](#) and b, asked what happened if comments from the vote were factually incorrect, as in the case of his aspirational sites. Cllr Adrian Dale said that the NDP Working Party would not give weight to factually incorrect comments; however the comments did not change how the votes were cast.

The landowner of aspirational site NDP-S005 asked for clarification of the scoring on his site. Cllr Adrian Dale explained that adding the full support and all of the conditional support the site did not get 50% of the popular vote from the Parish.

After the results and the criteria had been adopted the Working Party considered the implications for the three areas of the village based on the data contained in [NDP-RESULTS](#). After some debate the following proposals were agreed:

NDP Working Party – Public Meeting Minutes – NDP-M008

Caldecott

2015-2025: 4 new dwellings 2026-2035: 6 new dwellings

Proposed: Cllr Adrian Dale Seconded: Cllr Melvyn Wooding Decision: Unanimous

Chelston Rise

2015-2025: 6-10 new dwellings 2026-2035: 0 new dwellings

Proposed: Cllr Jenny Harwood Seconded: Patricia Buckman Decision: Unanimous

Chelveston

2015-2025: 16-17 new dwellings 2026-2035: 8-10 new dwellings

Proposed: Cllr Melvyn Wooding Seconded: Janette Kightley-Green Decision: Unanimous

A draft recommendation, based on the above will be prepared for submission to the Parish Council meeting on the 14th April 2014 and its approval.

8 Timeline and Summary

The Working Party will discuss and plan the next stage leading to the vote in May 2015

The timeline will be updated and published on the NDP website

9 Budget and Expenditure

The budget is running at a current deficit of £341.00 to the 31st March 2014

A proposed budget for 2014/15 of £970.00 will be presented to the Parish Council at the meeting on 14th April 2014

10 Dates of next meetings

The next NDP Public Working Party meeting is on the 7th May 2014 (Wednesday)

Roundtable meetings will not take place until the Working Party has produced the draft plan.

Parish Council meeting 14th April 2014

Parish Assembly 22nd April 2014

The meeting closed formally at: 9.12 pm

Issued on 13th April 2014

Andrew Seaman
Chairman of the NDP Working Party
chair@ndp.chelveston.org.uk