



Analysis of Q6 – How many houses would you be prepared to see developed in each area of the Village between 2016-2025 and 2026-2035?

The results of Q6 in the popular vote (<u>NDP-RESULTS</u>) have been analysed and plotted using the following methodology.

<u>Methodology</u>

- 1. The data in Tables 13 to 16 were pulled into a spreadsheet and were stratified into bands of 5 houses:
 - a. 0
 - b. 1-5
 - c. 6-10
 - d. 11-15
 - е. . . .
- 2. Textual answers (e.g. 8-10 or <10 or Up to 10) were then slotted into the correct band to ensure they were properly accounted for. The answer "as many as you like" (just one person) was allocated a high number (over 200).
- 3. A "reverse cumulative" count was then done as follows:
 - a. Residents specifying that they wanted no houses built were left unchanged and were recorded as such to give a clear view on what proportion of residents want no change at all.
 - b. If a resident said they would be happy with 8-10 houses in a location, then they were counted in both the categories 1-5 and 6-10. The assumption was made that they would be content with both the lower figure and the higher figure. Their actual preference for a larger number would be reflected in the number of sites for which they gave full support.
 - c. The data for 2016-2025 and 2026-2035 was plotted on the same chart and three charts were produced, one for each settlement.
 - d. For Caldecott an additional count was made, taking in account only responses from post codes NN9 6AR and NN9 6AT. This shows the preferences for the residents most affected by propositions involving development in Caldecott.
 - e. For Chelson Rise an additional count was made, taking in account only responses from post codes NN9 6AU. This shows the preferences for the residents most affected by propositions involving development in Chelston Rise.
 - f. There might be an argument for including the residents of Caldecott in the supplementary Chelston Rise count, given that they would be affected by increased traffic resulting from development. However, visual inspection of the data shows a majority rejection of all Chelston Rise proposals by the residents of Caldecott.
 - g. Lines were drawn on the charts to show the levels required to achieve majority support.





