

**NDP-S001 Duchy of Lancaster 5/6 dwellings Bidwell Lane**

<b>Vote</b>	<b>Votes Cast</b>
<b>Support</b>	211 (140 with no comments)
<b>Conditional Support</b>	40 (0 with no comments)
<b>Reject</b>	89 (48 with no comments)
<b>No Opinion</b>	10 (8 with no comments)
<b>Total</b>	<b>350</b>

**Table NDP-S001**[Extracted from NDP-RESULTS/v2.0](#)

<b>Post Code</b>	<b>NDP-S001 Votes</b>	<b>NDP-S001 Comments</b>
AB, AS, AY	Support	Properties must be sensitive to existing cottages and the new barn conversion
AB, AS, AY	Support	Improvement on existing buildings and does not change general outlook of village.
AB, AS, AY	Support	Improvement
AB, AS, AY	Support	Good use of space not affecting anyone
AB, AS, AY	Support	BROWN SITE
AB, AS, AY	Support	Because that area is all contained into a small compact site with surrounding farm houses and buildings already, plus it's off the beaten track and I don't think it will have an effect on anyone
AB, AS, AY	Support	acceptable development
AB, AS, AY	Support	Only if the road were made wider
AB, AS, AY	Support	New houses would improve that side of the village
AB, AS, AY	Support	Looks to fit in well with existing developments
AD, AE	Support	This is unlikely to have a negative impact on Chelveston
AD, AE	Support	These conversions are in keeping with other buildings in Caldecott with good access, needed accommodation for Caldecott
AD, AE	Support	If you build affordable homes
AD, AE	Support	I think this would enhance the area, the current barns are an eyesore
AD, AE	Support	Happy to see existing old buildings developed. It's always better to see them like that rather than run down hacks.
AD, AE	Support	area would be enhanced visually
AD, AE	Support	With the removal of the old Barn new houses would just finish off this development site
AD, AE	Support	With the other building work on this site this development would tidy it all up with the removal of the old barn
AF, AG, AP	Support	Development ongoing opposite so would fit in.
AF, AG, AP	Support	Buildings already exist & could be improved
AF, AG, AP	Support	Would see development on land already used for farm buildings

**NDP-S001 Duchy of Lancaster 5/6 dwellings Bidwell Lane**

AF, AG, AP	Support	Would complement the Duchy Farm development opposite
AF, AG, AP	Support	This would enable reasonable development with existing characteristics maintained
AF, AG, AP	Support	This will not have a negative effect on the village
AF, AG, AP	Support	These are exactly the kind of changes I would like to see around the village, small additions here and there of a few houses, the village increases in size, but not at an alarming rate. This area is also very light in residential dwellings so an increase would bring the number of residence in this area to an acceptable figure.
AF, AG, AP	Support	Expansion is key
AF, AG, AP	Support	As the site is already developed in the form of the cattle yard, I see no problem
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Would enhance the village.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Would enhance a disused farmyard
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Should improve the site
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	I think the small scale developments are more in keeping.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Cannot identify any valid reason for opposing sensitive development
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	6 smart detached dwellings would add to the feel of the village but not overwhelm it.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	already buildings in place ,so new houses would not be a bad replacement
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Seems an appropriate use of space and won't impact any neighbours unduly
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	I don't feel this would have a high impact as there are existing building there at present
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Will tidy the road and match the opposite site
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	The farm is in need of development to tidy up some buildings in need of repair
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Atone or old brick. No detrimental outlook.

**NDP-S001 Duchy of Lancaster 5/6 dwellings Bidwell Lane**

AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Looks like a sensible small package of houses
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	It is OK.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Houses should be built in stone or old style brick to blend into the old style of the area.
AQ, AW	Support	Keep the buildings in character with the area.
AQ, AW	Support	Would create a small hamlet of houses in a quiet area surrounded by fields. This would be a lovely place to live.
AQ, AW	Support	This would be well out of sight and sympathetic to the area.
AQ, AW	Support	This will clean up the existing area
AQ, AW	Support	This plan does not appear too imposing on others
AQ, AW	Support	The additional number of vehicles would not pose a problem as Bidwell Lane is relatively quiet and provides safe access to the B645. The proposal is for 'high quality' homes wothe buildings should therefore fit in with the surrounding landscape.
AQ, AW	Support	Sufficient space.
AQ, AW	Support	Small development within keeping of village
AQ, AW	Support	I think this will benefit from development
AQ, AW	Support	I feel that this is a reasonable proposal given that the majority of the plot is already a yard/buildings
AQ, AW	Support	Good use of redundant farm buildings.
AQ, AW	Support	Good
AQ, AW	Support	Converting farm buildings would improve area.
AR, AT	Support	Re-use of brown field site
AR, AT	Support	Husband says so
AR, AT	Support	Building on brown field site
AU	Support	Uses existing developed land.
AU	Support	Use of existing developed land
AU	Support	the existing farm buildings are unsightly and if developed to match the conversions accross the road would improve that area .
AU	Support	Not a large impact on current village
AU	Support	I think that 6 high quality homes will be in-keeping with the village.

**NDP-S001** **Duchy of Lancaster** **5/6 dwellings Bidwell Lane**

AU	Support	I support this redevelopment so long as its design fits with the current structures and fits with the character of the area
AU	Support	I support this as long as it is in keeping with the current design of houses
AU	Support	I see no reason why not. In addition, I understand that the farm currently at this location is no longer viable commercially so the owners need to do something with the land.
AU	Support	High quality homes fitting existing character
AU	Support	As long as the owners of the farm are proposing or happy for this & they fit with the area
AU	Support	as long as the number of properties are not more than 6
AU	Support	Agree as no access issues & is within the farm area itself. Although the three building(marked 3)may impact as they are on the main road leading into Caldecott
AU	Support	A small high quality development will enhance the village
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	Subject to seeing final proposals to ensure these are in keeping with the village.
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	Providing the development was not too large, in keeping with the surrounding area and the Bidwell Lane road was improved.
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	IT WILL TIDY THE AREA UP.
AD, AE	Conditional Support	Fewer properties
AD, AE	Conditional Support	The Duchy of Lancaster has suggested this site really? I would support this proposition if it was for a single dwelling.
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	possibly less than 6
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	Less density
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	It is too large for Caldecott but might be more acceptable if it was one or two houses.
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	Needs to be looked at in conjunction with other developments to review traffic impact
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	more consideration to increase in traffic when taken into account with other developments on the road
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	Would recommend four rather than six houses because I am concerned about over development of Bidwell Lane in the light of the current development of Duchy Farm and proposal S018b

**NDP-S001** **Duchy of Lancaster** **5/6 dwellings Bidwell Lane**

AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	I would suggest 4 houses, because of concerns of Bidwell Lane becoming Bidwell Road, with the loss of its vital aspects
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	The number of houses should be decreased to 4 or less, it is probable that the high quality homes would be occupied by more than one person ie: a couple or family so that would suggest that if it was a 2 car family, as most of us are, then there would be the possibility of 12 extra vehicles. I consider this as a significant increase in traffic comparing it to how it is now. I would also be concerned for the horses at the livery which regularly use this area.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	The number of houses should be decreased to 4 or less, it is probable that the high quality homes would be occupied by more than one person ie: a couple or family so that would suggest that if it was a 2 car family, as most of us are, then there would be the possibility of 12 extra vehicles. I consider this as a significant increase in traffic comparing it to how it is now. I would also be concerned for the horses at the livery which regularly use this area.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	if affordable
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	Bidwell Lane would need to be made suitable for two way traffic as it is little more than a small track with no passing
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	bidwell lane is currently a single track road and would hope to see some major improvement of road + access to any new homes on this site should have clear views onto high way for egress also
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	this would be a good conversion of existing buildings and land providing restrictions on any additional buildings behind the current proposed
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	Reduction of properties to 4 only. Homes all with double garages and further off road parking. No further development on remaining land to be permitted.
AR, AT	Conditional Support	Low density housing 2/3 sites only
AR, AT	Conditional Support	If they were built in 10-15 years time
AR, AT	Conditional Support	fewer houses
AR, AT	Conditional Support	Built in 5-20 years time
AR, AT	Conditional Support	Bidwell Lane needs road having major done as it cannot cope with all the traffic at the moment

**NDP-S001** **Duchy of Lancaster** **5/6 dwellings Bidwell Lane**

AR, AT	Conditional Support	Bidwell Lane improvements to accommodate extra traffic
AR, AT	Conditional Support	a maximum of two high quality homes that add interesting architecture without unnecessary large areas of drives concrete tarmac with attention to green garden spaces and inturn minimizing the impacted of extra traffic to and from the village
AR, AT	Conditional Support	If affordable for young families or young residents who would like to stay living within the village.
AR, AT	Conditional Support	I would support if the proposals favour younger people and families by building smaller, affordable houses which offer value as well as space i.e. not cramped
AU	Conditional Support	Some improvements to the roads would be needed for this development
AU	Conditional Support	less dwellings
AU	Conditional Support	I think changes to the road for this development to go ahead are needed and wonder if this would change the entire feel of the area.
AU	Conditional Support	Detailed plans were seen and passed to be architecturally in keeping with surrounding established rural domestic dwellings
AU	Conditional Support	a better road link to it, as Bidwell lane itself is a single track farm lane
AU	Conditional Support	FIVE HOUSES MAXIMUM OVER A 20 YEAR PERIOD TO PRESERVE THE CHARACTER OF THE VILLAGE.
AU	Conditional Support	5 HOUSES MAXIMUM OVER A TWENTY YEAR PERIOD TO PRESERVE THE CHARACTER OF THE VILLAGE
AQ, AW	Conditional Support	I have some reservations about the ability of Bidwell Lane to cope with the increase in trafficand would prefer to see fewer houses
AQ, AW	Conditional Support	happy for tatty farm building to be replaced but concerned it would open floodgates for more homes ruining rural aspect of Caldecott
AQ, AW	Conditional Support	Fewer dwellings (3 maximum)
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	Six swellings on this site is not relective of the density being created immediately opposite in the old Duchy Farm. Three barn type conversions would be ideal for this site.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	guarantee no further development than on existing farm site

**NDP-S001 Duchy of Lancaster 5/6 dwellings Bidwell Lane**

AB, AS, AY	Reject	Too many houses, 6 houses would mean at least 12 cars and Bidwell Lane is not suited to more traffic
AB, AS, AY	Reject	To keep this as a village
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Not suitable for younger people to expensive, more affordable housing is needed
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Doesn't provide a mix of housing for the village.
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Do not wish to see Caldecott grow beyond its current size
AF, AG, AP	Reject	With the other aspirational sites in a village the size of Caldecott, there is no need for another six houses on top of the others proposed
AF, AG, AP	Reject	it is nice see the open countryside when going for a walk and i dont fell the road would cope with more traffic.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Development in this area is creating a small village rather than an agricultural environment. I like the quiet counry farm lane,and dont't want to walk through a housing estate.
AQ, AW	Reject	Why should we have everything altered? It is a country village lane - probably need 'improving' into a proper road which we do not want.
AQ, AW	Reject	It seems to me that most people were against any type of building being done in the village until they could make some money out of it by selling their land.
AQ, AW	Reject	It is a nice scenic area and an enjoyable walk
AQ, AW	Reject	Bidwell Lane will be to busy.
AR, AT	Reject	This is a working farmyard and should, in my opinion remain as such.
AR, AT	Reject	The effect of the 3 new houses already under construction at Duchy Farm needs to be assessed before further building is considered - maybe in the time period 2026 - 2035.
AR, AT	Reject	The development would spoil the rural feel of Bidwell Lane. The development of the farm across the road is enough.
AR, AT	Reject	It ruins the landscape, if someone wants to live in the village then they should buy a house that is already built. I do not think there should be anymore houses built.
AR, AT	Reject	I would prefer that Bidwell Lane remains rural. There is a development across the road that is already increasing the number of dwellings. Also, there is no specific mention of how many dwellings would be on the site. It is too vague.
AR, AT	Reject	I may support this if I knew what the finished houses would look like.. Are they in keeping with the style of houses already in the village
AR, AT	Reject	i can not vote on this until detailed plans are presented
AR, AT	Reject	enough in the village with Duchy Farm conversions
AR, AT	Reject	Don't want more people in the Village

**NDP-S001 Duchy of Lancaster 5/6 dwellings Bidwell Lane**

AR, AT	Reject	Don't really want many new houses
AR, AT	Reject	A working used farmstead. Already new developments in Bidwell Lane, not necessary to change use of farm.
AB, AS, AY	Reject	I like it the way it is
AU	Reject	Want it to remain small and peaceful.
AU	Reject	They couldn't be bothered to send anyone to discuss this aspirational site, so not interested in voting
AU	Reject	No more buildings
AU	Reject	No more building
AU	Reject	Already have 3 under construction, and one outline permission granted - is this not enough?
AQ, AW	Reject	Unnecessary building in what is now a quiet back road.
AQ, AW	Reject	Unecessary
AQ, AW	Reject	Too big a change - would spoil feel of Caldecott
AQ, AW	Reject	Too big a change
AQ, AW	Reject	The size of this development is too big for the area. It is currently an agricultural area, not residential and not in-fill.
AQ, AW	Reject	Changes character of farming area of the village.
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Too large and too big an increase in the size of Caldecott
AB, AS, AY	Reject	No development in Bidwell Lane
AB, AS, AY	Reject	I can't support any proposal which involves building on green field land as future development is possible
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Danger of creeping development
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Too many buildings proposed, too big an increase to village size
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Fewer new dwellings than proposed
AQ, AW	No Opinion	I have insufficient knowledge of this area to make a valid judgement due to short residency in the village.
AU	No Opinion	I do not feel I can support or reject this proposal as I do not feel this has a big impact on us