

**NDP-S004a Chapman Barn conversion or rebuild**

Vote	Votes Cast
<b>Support</b>	293 (206 with no comments)
<b>Conditional Support</b>	8 (2 with no comments)
<b>Reject</b>	40 (27 with no comments)
<b>No Opinion</b>	9 (6 with no comments)
<b>Total</b>	<b>350</b>

Table NDP-S004a

[Extracted from NDP-RESULTS/v2.0](#)

Post Code	NDP-S004a Votes	NDP-S004a Comments
AB, AS, AY	Support	Acceptable development
AB, AS, AY	Support	Acceptable development
AB, AS, AY	Support	Again good use of space
AB, AS, AY	Support	Already existing living accommodation so do not see a problem
AB, AS, AY	Support	because it is only a small area
AB, AS, AY	Support	Having lived there many years without being able to convert this is the time for it to be granted
AB, AS, AY	Support	Improvement of existing dwelling
AB, AS, AY	Support	Improvement with no change to outlook
AB, AS, AY	Support	Improvements to existing building will improve the look of Caldecott without increasing its size
AB, AS, AY	Support	The building is already there and people already live in it.
AB, AS, AY	Support	Would improve site.
AD, AE	Support	As long as the build was in keeping with the village and not obscure views
AD, AE	Support	I believe conversions of existing properties are acceptable and will not impact the village
AD, AE	Support	Needs to be updated
AD, AE	Support	Not visible from the road and no excess traffic
AD, AE	Support	Happy to see existing old buildings developed. It's always better to see them like that rather than rund down hacks.
AD, AE	Support	This is a nice way to slowly enlarge the village
AD, AE	Support	This would fit with existing property and replace an existing structure
AD, AE	Support	Will not affect anyone in Caldecott.
AF, AG, AP	Support	Buildings already exist & could be improved
AF, AG, AP	Support	Conversion or replacement of existing building
AF, AG, AP	Support	Good development of an existing building
AF, AG, AP	Support	good infill and use of existing buildings

<a href="#">NDP-S004a</a>	Chapman	Barn conversion or rebuild
AF, AG, AP	Support	i feel these people have lived in a barn for long enough.
AF, AG, AP	Support	It would probably enhance the building
AF, AG, AP	Support	New things are good. If she decided it needs doing, then it probably does.
AF, AG, AP	Support	Not exactly much of a massive change, as long as it is a similar size in height as it currently is, let there be barns!
AF, AG, AP	Support	One additional property is appropriate for Caldecott.
AF, AG, AP	Support	She needs a proper home
AF, AG, AP	Support	The renovation of the existing barn would be a good and acceptable proposal. A total barn rebuild should be a last resort - to maintain village heritage.
AF, AG, AP	Support	This will not have a negative effect on the village
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Already in village
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	As before won't impact anyone else unduly and seems reasonable
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	I see no reason to refuse and this should deliver a quality development
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	improvement to existing site
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	In keeping with area
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	need to tidy up old barn
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	No problem with this.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	only replacing current building
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	reasonable development
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Re-build/regeneration of an old building should be supported
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Subject to adequate planning supervision

<a href="#">NDP-S004a</a>	Chapman	Barn conversion or rebuild
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	This is away from the road.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	will look tidy and be more useful
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Yes if building is in keeping with current property
AQ, AW	Support	A single dwelling in an existing structure is acceptable and is adjacent to houses.
AQ, AW	Support	A stone re-build would not distract from neighbouring cottages
AQ, AW	Support	Already a building on plot, new building won't effect anyone.
AQ, AW	Support	Already there so should not make any impact on the village
AQ, AW	Support	Already used as accommodation
AQ, AW	Support	As the building is already there I see no problems.
AQ, AW	Support	Good
AQ, AW	Support	Good use of an existing building and as work will be done in keeping with existing building styles
AQ, AW	Support	I have no objection to conversion of existing properties or rebuilding in a similar style
AQ, AW	Support	No impact on the village as conversion of existing barn.
AQ, AW	Support	Re-use of old building
AQ, AW	Support	Re-use of old building
AQ, AW	Support	This building already exists and is therefore not too imposing on others
AQ, AW	Support	This does not necessitate any new buildings.
AQ, AW	Support	Would have no great impact on village
AR, AT	Support	Already occupy area so would give them permanent abode
AR, AT	Support	Already used for residency with no harm to anyone. Planning should be given to secure a permanent residence.
AR, AT	Support	Barn conversion would be in taste (in-keeping) with village and buildings around the site.
AR, AT	Support	I believe formally converting would be of benefit to Caldecott.
AR, AT	Support	I fully support this proposition as outlined, the new sewage pipes connected directly to the mains are essential.
AR, AT	Support	I would support this so long as the conversion was appropriate for the area and others were not affected by the conversion/building
AR, AT	Support	Needs to be upgraded
AR, AT	Support	The building is already a home for a family and a new structure should enhance the plot

**NDP-S004a Chapman Barn conversion or rebuild**

AR, AT	Support	This barn has been used as a residential dwelling for years. Planning should be allowed for this to become a permanent residence.
AR, AT	Support	This is used as a dwelling already and would not change the dynamics of the village.
AR, AT	Support	This property building has always existed and the current owners deserve to live in a properly sound building on their own land.it would have no impact on the current village if done in a sympathetic style and the upkeep of the surrounding land maintained to the right standard it has been for the last 15 years.
AU	Support	As this is a straight replacement I don't see an issue with this application
AU	Support	Conversion of existing buildings
AU	Support	I am happy for her to make changes to the barn and land
AU	Support	I can see no problem for this barn conversion
AU	Support	I think this would be a great build as it would remain as part of the existing village
AU	Support	I'm happy for the barn to be restructured
AU	Support	if the existing farm barn is converted.
AU	Support	It's in the first phase 2016-2025
AU	Support	It's just re-developing an old building
AU	Support	No additional windows facing other properties
AU	Support	Seems like a straight replacement so would not be of concern
AU	Support	The conversion would be an existing plot behind The Barns. No objection
AU	Support	This would - it seems - to be in keeping with existing buildings. In fill not building on green fields.
AU	Support	use of existing building
AU	Support	Uses existing building.
AU	Support	Yes building already exists and is already lived in, proposal suggests keeping with local architecture
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	This scould work but the dwelling footprint should not exceed the existing footprint of the barn.
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	
AD, AE	Conditional Support	I would support this proposition for a conversion only and not a rebuild.
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	If it had some garden
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	Would need some garden
AQ, AW	Conditional Support	

<a href="#">NDP-S004a</a>	<b>Chapman</b>	<b>Barn conversion or rebuild</b>
AR, AT	Conditional Support	I think this is more acceptable than the first proposition however, there should be set guidelines to follow, it should be no higher and take up no more space than the original barn in place. People bought there houses here for the reason that the landscape is nice around it. There should be no more houses built in this area, If housing is short then they should add to preexisting towns such as Rushden because the people there don't have landscape around them anyway, so it wont make a difference. New houses should be built on derelict land that no longer has a purpose.
AU	Conditional Support	providing there is a safe entrance / exit to and from the site
AB, AS, AY	Reject	If this has been occupied for the last 15 years why does it warrant conversion/rebuilding
AB, AS, AY	Reject	No development in Bidwell Lane
AB, AS, AY	Reject	To keep as a village
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Access on the road via a sharp bend.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Access on to the road via sharp bend. road used as a rat run.
AQ, AW	Reject	It seems to me that most people were against any type of building being done in the village until they could make some money out of it by selling their land.
AR, AT	Reject	Historically planning permission refused even at the High Court. Eviction notices issued but not enforced. The building falls outside the village envelope
AR, AT	Reject	This application failed at at high court some years ,the owner ignored eviction notices. Therefore this must not be granted approval for property
AR, AT	Reject	This property represents deliberate flouting of planning law. Planning permission was sought and refused 10 years ago of conversion to residential use. I am not aware that this has since been granted
AU	Reject	No more building
AU	Reject	No more buildings
AU	Reject	Opposed to any new builds/infilling
AU	Reject	Want it to remain small and peaceful.
AQ, AW	No Opinion	I have insufficient knowledge of this area to make a valid judgement due to short residency in the village.
AQ, AW	No Opinion	no great feelings either way as I feel this is a matter for the residents of Caldecott
AU	No Opinion	I do not feel I can support or reject this proposal as I do not feel this has a big impact on us