

[NDP-S004b](#) Chapman

2/3 Two storey cottage

Vote	Votes Cast
Support	230 (182 with no comments)
Conditional Support	10 (0 with no comments)
Reject	95 (52 with no comments)
No Opinion	15 (13 with no comments)
Total	350

Table NDP-S004b

[Extracted from NDP-RESULTS/v2.0](#)

Post Code	NDP-S004b Votes	NDP-S004b Comments
AB, AS, AY	Support	ABOUT TIME
AB, AS, AY	Support	Acceptable development
AB, AS, AY	Support	Because this area will be small
AB, AS, AY	Support	I like and prefer the 1 and 2 house developments
AB, AS, AY	Support	I'm not against this but I do take into consideration how other people may feel about it, i.e. the house opposite
AB, AS, AY	Support	It will blend!
AB, AS, AY	Support	Smaller property to potentially bring younger people to village
AD, AE	Support	It will be good infill for Caldecott.
AD, AE	Support	This is a nice way to slowly enlarge the village
AD, AE	Support	This would also fit in with the existing village
AD, AE	Support	To be built to keep in character with the village
AF, AG, AP	Support	Again this is a small development in a place that would benefit from a nice cottage, without having a large impact of the size of Caldecott or the traffic flow.
AF, AG, AP	Support	Appropriate infill
AF, AG, AP	Support	Design and materials would fit in with the other properties in the area
AF, AG, AP	Support	Good infill within current buildings
AF, AG, AP	Support	I have no objection
AF, AG, AP	Support	It will be nice for sue to have her son near to her.
AF, AG, AP	Support	Providing it's not brick
AF, AG, AP	Support	This will not have a negative effect on the village
AF, AG, AP	Support	Would fit in with current buildings
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	As before - seems a reasonable request

NDP-S004b Chapman 2/3 Two storey cottage

AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	I feel this wouldn't impact on residents
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	No problem with this.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Plenty of room within current boundries.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Small developments are ok
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	This building would have very little impact on the area and would hardly be noticed
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	Would have little or no impact on views / surrounding area
AQ, AW	Support	A single dwelling adjacent to an existing house is acceptable.
AQ, AW	Support	Again would have no great impact on village
AQ, AW	Support	I do not see this as excessive and I think it would be welcomed by residents
AQ, AW	Support	Shouldn't effect area to much.
AQ, AW	Support	Small extension to village
AQ, AW	Support	Small extension to village
AQ, AW	Support	The property should be in keeping with local buildings.
AQ, AW	Support	This plan does not appear to impose on others and is only a small erection
AQ, AW	Support	Would be a sensible development on the edge of the village but not extending the boundary significantly.
AR, AT	Support	A brown field site
AR, AT	Support	Chris uses her eggs
AR, AT	Support	Infill to the village
AR, AT	Support	So long as they keep to their proposition of facing it in stone so that the front blends with the village particularly the cottage next door,
AU	Support	As long it is in keeping with the surrounding houses
AU	Support	I support this build but against the annex as this could be extended in the future and become a larger house
AU	Support	I'm happy for this - as long as it is in keeping with current buildings
AU	Support	If the cottage is of brick construction.
AU	Support	In keeping with the other properties in village
AU	Support	in line with existing village formation
AU	Support	Sits well with existing village lay-out.

NDP-S004b Chapman 2/3 Two storey cottage

AU	Support	Will be in keeping with village
AD, AE	Conditional Support	As long as is in keeping with Caldecott Village and not obscure any other properties views to surrounding countryside
AD, AE	Conditional Support	Fewer properties
AD, AE	Conditional Support	If properties were built in keeping with existing residences
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	If the first site is redeveloped another one in a comparatively small area is too much. I think it is either one or the other.
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	The re-write their proposition paragraph and acquire a proof reader
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	No further development at rear and not linked to phase 2 necessarily (which represents too big an increase to village number of dwellings)
AR, AT	Conditional Support	I would not like this in a modern brick as it could possibly appear incongruous to the stone building next door (Rosie and Frank's cottage)
AU	Conditional Support	Providing there are safe exit/entrance links to the site
AU	Conditional Support	If access does not affect flow of traffic through village & building was in keeping with existing/surrounding buildings
AU	Conditional Support	No traffic issues in keeping with surrounding buildings
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Any residential development on this site would result in an awkward, crowded and cramped design with little private amenity space.
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Do not want to see further developments in Caldecott beyond those already planned
AB, AS, AY	Reject	I feel this plot shape/size is just asset stripping to support the other proposal and with all other sites offered up it's not required.
AB, AS, AY	Reject	No development in Bidwell Lane
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Not enough space for a second property on this site
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Plot size too awkward for the proposed size of dwelling
AF, AG, AP	Reject	If the proposal in NDP-S004a is a viable option than building a further property in a small space would not be acceptable to me.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Trying to utilise every inch of space is not necessarily a good thing
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Access to road
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Access too road.

NDP-S004b Chapman**2/3 Two storey cottage**

AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Does not look like a quality or sensible development as it would shoe-horn a house into too small a space and we don't need to do that in this county.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Prefer to see only Barn conversion
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	The house would be along the road side
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Think development of barn sufficient for site Would not want to see just rear of building facing road
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Too small, no parking
AQ, AW	Reject	Access to road unsighted
AQ, AW	Reject	Not needed Too close to corner
AQ, AW	Reject	over development of rural location. Ruin character of Caldecott
AQ, AW	Reject	There is no reason to build on this small piece of land. They just seem to be doing it for money. The size of the house won't be in keeping with the rest of the village houses.
AQ, AW	Reject	They already have 4 new houses being built
AQ, AW	Reject	Too small a plot
AQ, AW	Reject	Unnecessary
AR, AT	Reject	Again, no houses should be built where there is not already buildings there. It affects the natural surroundings.
AR, AT	Reject	Development outside the village envelope. Another money making scheme
AR, AT	Reject	for years this plot outside the village envelope. allowing this proposal will only see the village grow in all directions & may lead to chelston rise joining up to caldicott.
AR, AT	Reject	his property represents deliberate flouting of planning law. Planning permission was sought and refused 10 years ago of conversion to residential use. I am not aware that this has since been granted
AR, AT	Reject	I do not want the village to grow
AR, AT	Reject	I feel the area is too small and therefore not in keeping with other properties and gardens in the area.
AR, AT	Reject	not enough space to build.
AR, AT	Reject	not needed & would add nothing to the village
AR, AT	Reject	Open countryside outside the village boundaries. Not necessary if given permission farm dwelling in barn.

NDP-S004b Chapman**2/3 Two storey cottage**

AR, AT	Reject	Plot looks too small for house similar to existing property in village
AR, AT	Reject	Site too small / cramped in.
AR, AT	Reject	The plans I saw were of a brick house. I think this would be awful next door to a lovely stone cottage. I think the barn conversion would be enough
AR, AT	Reject	This would be built in open countryside and should not be permitted.
AU	Reject	4a is enough in this area without this too
AU	Reject	Don't think this site taking into consideration the position on the road is suitable for development of any kind as it is dangerous
AU	Reject	No more buildings
AU	Reject	No more houses
AU	Reject	No more infilling
AU	Reject	There seems no reason for this development apart from the making money. The shape and location of the plot does not blend itself to any buildings - as they would impose a 'being crowded in' feeling on surrounding buildings
AU	Reject	This area is a dangerous piece of road where I have nearly had several accidents due to the blind bend and adding additional housing here would in my opinion make the matter worse
AU	Reject	Want it to remain a small village.
AQ, AW	No opinion	I have insufficient knowledge of this area to make a valid judgement due to short residency in the village.
AQ, AW	No opinion	No great feelings either way as I feel this is a matter for the residents of Caldecott