

[NDP-S007](#) Knight 1 Dwelling Water Lane

Vote	Votes Cast
Support	217 (177 with no comments)
Conditional Support	12 (1 with no comments)
Reject	105 (65 with no comments)
No Opinion	16 (16 with no comments)
Total	350

Table NDP-S007

[Extracted from NDP-RESULTS/v2.0](#)

Post Code	NDP-S007 Votes	NDP-S007 Comments
AB, AS, AY	Support	Acceptable development
AB, AS, AY	Support	I don't feel that 1 house will have too much of an affect on anyone
AB, AS, AY	Support	If Mr Knight would like to build his own house.
AB, AS, AY	Support	IT WILL SIT IN NICE.
AB, AS, AY	Support	Ownership will be retained by a known villager
AB, AS, AY	Support	Sustainable development
AB, AS, AY	Support	This is a small development which will sit well in the Village
AD, AE	Support	Again just infill
AD, AE	Support	It would have no impact on any views in the village & would sit nicely in the countryside
AD, AE	Support	no excess traffic. Would prefer stone built
AD, AE	Support	This is a sensible proposition
AF, AG, AP	Support	1 House in that much land makes it seem like a similar type to the current house next to it, this is another small extension which I feel the village needs.
AF, AG, AP	Support	As long as it is one dwelling.
AF, AG, AP	Support	As long as this is built sympathetically, with consideration for neighbours, I think this is appropriate & the proposer is a villager who intends to live in the property.
AF, AG, AP	Support	But only one here!
AF, AG, AP	Support	Can't see any objection to this on the edge of the village
AF, AG, AP	Support	Could be viewed as in-fill
AF, AG, AP	Support	Needs sensitive design to complement Hall Farm House
AF, AG, AP	Support	Seems a reasonable option given the location
AF, AG, AP	Support	This development would not significantly detract from the character of the village or surrounding area.
AF, AG, AP	Support	Yes but with consideration given to neighbouring properties

NDP-S007	Knights	1 Dwelling Water Lane
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	A house in keeping with those in the road.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	I support this proposal as long as views are not impaired keeping the rural aspect of Water Lane.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	No problem.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Support	One house development should be ok, only minor concern is that then spreads out in future?
AQ, AW	Support	For owners own use
AQ, AW	Support	I see no problem.
AQ, AW	Support	I support the infill
AQ, AW	Support	No objections - 1 residence for own use
AQ, AW	Support	No problem with this
AQ, AW	Support	Not out of keeping with neighbouring houses.
AQ, AW	Support	Provided planning given is for 1 dwelling only
AQ, AW	Support	See no real impact on neighbours and a sensible plot to develop.
AQ, AW	Support	This plan for build would not be too imposing on others
AR, AT	Support	It is hidden
AR, AT	Support	One extra house along Water Lane. Fits in with plot sizes and does not make any obstructions.
AU	Support	Don't see this development being an issue
AU	Support	I believe that this proposition would not have a negative effect on the area or increase traffic so do not have any issues with this
AU	Support	I support this build but would like to see it built of red brick and slate roof
AU	Support	Only if it is in keeping with the current house
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	Any dwelling on this site should have to follow the existing build form and not be placed too far back into the site.
AD, AE	Conditional Support	Again the property should be in keeping with Hall Farm House and traffic kept to a minimum
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	If this was the only property to be built and not open the flood gates for more properties on this site.
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	restrictions on size of buildings additional to proposed dwelling ie: large barns/garages etc
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	If neighbour agrees and doesn't impact them too much

NDP-S007	Knight	1 Dwelling Water Lane
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	Only if the existing neighbour was fully satisfied that their environment was not being compromised and the plot was a similar suitable size and nature
AQ, AW	Conditional Support	I am confident that this development would not have an adverse affect on the area.
AQ, AW	Conditional Support	If the plans for locating the house is approved by the neighbouring property I would have no objections.
AQ, AW	Conditional Support	The construction tradfic would not damage or obstruct the surrounding roads.
AR, AT	Conditional Support	With careful use of building materials and design to fit into and not dominate its location.
AU	Conditional Support	As long as they listen to residents & do not affect their views. Do not build a huge property that will stick out & affect residents
AQ, AW	Conditional Support	
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Extends the village boundaries too far
AB, AS, AY	Reject	No more dwellings
AB, AS, AY	Reject	I can't support any of these green field developments. They nearly always lead to more houses
AB, AS, AY	Reject	There is a problem with access to this site
AD, AE	Reject	? Offers nothing to village but one dwelling!
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Loss of landscape and views
AF, AG, AP	Reject	The view as you come through the ford into the village on water lane has always been a pleasant one with hallfarm house being the fist on the left .it would be a shame to change this after over 100 years
AF, AG, AP	Reject	The views across the piece of land early morning and late evening are breath taking and should not be lost. This would also impact any wildlife living in these areas.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Totally out of character with Hall Farm. Would spoil view from Water Lane. Owner has other more appropriate land to build his new home on.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Access to road
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	access to road
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	I think there are better options for expansion elsewhere in the village.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	There are other proposals that are far better.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	This is another slight expansion of the village and would in time lead to further planning consent along the either side of the brook in Water Lane

NDP-S007	Knights	1 Dwelling Water Lane
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Too big an increase
AQ, AW	Reject	Access onto already difficult bend
AQ, AW	Reject	Bad
AQ, AW	Reject	Creates potential for development of adjacent field on Water Lane adjoining Wateryard Barnes
AQ, AW	Reject	Flooding
AQ, AW	Reject	On bad bend - unsighted
AQ, AW	Reject	Open field & opens up the possibility for further development between here & Wateryard Spinney as 'infill'
AQ, AW	Reject	I think he has made enough out of selling land and houses in Chelveston already
AR, AT	Reject	Agricultural ground in open countryside.
AR, AT	Reject	Agricultural land
AR, AT	Reject	Not an infill site. Agricultural land in open countryside.
AR, AT	Reject	Not appealing and would spoil existing views
AR, AT	Reject	Although this may not affect me it would affect others and they may not want development. Therefore it would be inappropriate for me to make a decision that would/wouldn't affect/relate to me.
AR, AT	Reject	I cannot vote on area that doesnt affect me but my vote will affect others more local to it.
AR, AT	Reject	The access looks potentially difficult. Also, a large area for one dwelling. Just not needed and again might not be an affordable dwelling
AR, AT	Reject	The village should end at its current boundary and I cannot see what this development would achieve to enhance the village.
AU	Reject	Agree with neighbouring dwellings that loss of view should not be an issue
AU	Reject	As with local residents, this could mar the landscape & spoil existing views which residents have enjoyed
AU	Reject	Due to potential flooding
AU	Reject	Going into open countryside, when will it stop
AU	Reject	No more houses
AU	Reject	No more houses
AU	Reject	No need to build on greenfield site .
AU	Reject	There is enough houses here, nice small village.
AU	Reject	Use of open field
AU	Reject	Uses a field.