

NDP-S020b CRE Additional employment and housing opportunities

Vote	Votes Cast
Support	28 (22 with no comments)
Conditional Support	20 (0 with no comments)
Reject	290 (146 with no comments)
No Opinion	12 (10 with no comments)
Total	350

Table NDP-S020b[Extracted from NDP-RESULTS/v2.0](#)

Post Code	NDP-S020b Votes	NDP-S020b Comments
AB, AS, AY	Support	Employment opportunities without the need for transport for villagers.
AB, AS, AY	Support	I support this proposition for the sake of the younger generation in hopes that jobs & employment come from it
AD, AE	Support	With better access routes to this part of the village, this could offer all sorts of exciting opportunities for everyone
AR, AT	Support	Again land is brown field
AR, AT	Support	Re-use of brown field site
AU	Support	I think it is a good idea to have office space & restaurants/entertainment in the area.
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	Detailed planning is needed here. If they provide shop. Healthcare and small businesses this could be good for the village
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	If more information was available and proposals were of an appropriate scale.
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	More information is required
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	Needs more explanation as to how this would be achieved.
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	Speed restrictions through Caldecott
AB, AS, AY	Conditional Support	Would need to see detailed plans
AD, AE	Conditional Support	Although a better route to access this development would be better this plan offers more of what I feel the village would benefit from. Opportunities, amenities and not just housing!
AD, AE	Conditional Support	Providing an alternative traffic route was arranged - too much traffic in a small village would cause problems
AD, AE	Conditional Support	I would like to see a artists perspective in order to clearly see the impact on the landscape, and a larger view of the potential benefits for the area.
AD, AE	Conditional Support	If carefully monitored & landscaped
AF, AG, AP	Conditional Support	More info needed on nature of employment opportunities

NDP-S020b	CRE	Additional employment and housing opportunities
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	Employment opportunities...yes, housing..No, I have supported a separate application for 35 more dwellings, residents from Chelston Rise would directly benefit from any employment opportunities and/or any other facilities ie doctors or shop, this would enable the residents to have their own sense of community whilst keeping the benefits of living in the countryside which they bought into when initially buying their property
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	Employment opportunities...yes, housing..No, I have supported a separate application for 35 more dwellings, residents from Chelston Rise would directly benefit from any employment opportunities and/or any other facilities ie doctors or shop, this would enable the residents to have their own sense of community whilst keeping the benefits of living in the countryside which they bought into when initially buying their property
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Conditional Support	I would only support the healthcare centre. Endorse their road safety comment!
AQ, AW	Conditional Support	Not enough detail given but small development residential and industrial could be welcomed.
AQ, AW	Conditional Support	Only employment small scale not residential
AQ, AW	Conditional Support	The number of units (including housing and industrial) were kept to reasonable number (eg 30) The surrounding roads were improved. Additional traffic through surrounding villages was managed to ensure the safety of residents in those surrounding villages.
AU	Conditional Support	I would support a smaller project ie the same as Chelston Rise
AU	Conditional Support	I would support this if there was not so many houses. Maybe 50 houses like Chelston Rise
AU	Conditional Support	No changes, just need more information
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Hideous proposal !!
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Environment and traffic issues
AB, AS, AY	Reject	I did not want the wind turbines and renewable energy plant there in the first place so I am certainly against any further developments
AB, AS, AY	Reject	I don't consider this is a good place to build more homes which will ultimately suffer from the effects of the biomass plant & HGV traffic etc
AB, AS, AY	Reject	With the current renewable energy park initiatives I can't understand how this can be a desirable housing location for the majority seeking houses and can't support it
AB, AS, AY	Reject	As there is no detail of the development I cannot vote for this
AB, AS, AY	Reject	NO NO IT SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN BUILT ON IN THE FIRST PLACE.
AB, AS, AY	Reject	GRASSING ONLY
AB, AS, AY	Reject	How can this be classed as a brownfield site. Using the area during the war during surely cannot constitute a valid reason for development now!

NDP-S020b	CRE	Additional employment and housing opportunities
AB, AS, AY	Reject	I find it quite ridiculous that consideration is being given to building residential dwellings on the same site as a Waste Treatment Plant, Wind Turbines and many hectares of Solar Panels. I would be very surprised to see such an application get any support from Planning Officers
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Not clear what they want - they have already done they like
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Not fair on Chelston Rise residents
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Not possible to determine decision as no details given too vague
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Not required with the expansion plan for this 20 year period.
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Once again, sheer greed! where will it end with these people?
AB, AS, AY	Reject	All because of windmills No thank you
AB, AS, AY	Reject	No detail means that options are wide open and uncontrolled Excessive increase in traffic volumes Detrimental impact on Chelston Rise Impact of traffic on Caldecott This amount of development is not required here Their approach was underhand and not in the spirit of the NDP process CRE cannot be trusted
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Too generic in order to make an informed decision
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Too large a development and feel there is a semblance of "bribery" when quoting local infrastructure to be provided e.g shop + healthcare surgery
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Too many dwellings will happen
AB, AS, AY	Reject	Too much development this will become a small town, the roads are not suitable for more traffic
AD, AE	Reject	We have a wind farm and solar panels which have ruined our landscape, created more traffic noise and smells. We do not need anymore, too much traffic and noise. We live in a village, not an industrial estate.
AD, AE	Reject	The windfarm is bad enough - the CRE group are ignorant and have no concern for the village - this would increase traffic to the area - totally unacceptable and where would it stop
AD, AE	Reject	No the old airfield has already been ruined, conservation would be more suitable for this already spoiled part of Chelveston-cum-Caldecott
AD, AE	Reject	Not clear as to what the development would provide. Also in same category as NDP-S020a it would dominate the village and set up a separate community in its own right.
AD, AE	Reject	Not enough information

NDP-S020b	CRE	Additional employment and housing opportunities
AD, AE	Reject	There are already sufficient renewable energy installations. Would dominate village. Would create a separate community. Not clear as to what development would provide.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Access too big
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Additional devastation to an ever changing area of the countryside
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Again - what are the specifics
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Again strongly object. Chelveston is a small hamlet / village and this would dramatically change views.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Again, no detail. This development is not connected in any way to our village. Someone has just thrown this in, talk of shop/surgery is nonsense.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Building here would spread the village too thinly and would encourage expansion inwards towards the main village, so eventually it would become a town. Also I feel Chelveston should be strictly residential (with exception to the current energy project). Chelveston is a tight community (even with Chelston Rise) and building out this far would reduce this.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	I just don't think it's time yet.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Inappropriate for area
AF, AG, AP	Reject	It`s not a proposal. I would support some development, but need details. Anyway it`s not a brown field site.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	No plans given. They could not care what they do
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Not required
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Not specific enough
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Nowhere near enough detail! CRE have consistently failed to provide information to time, as well as springing ideas onto the committee and the Village without notice. They have not responded when asked questions and still haven't given anywhere near enough detail about their proposal.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Previous development supported for Chelston Rise within this document increase this part o the village to double it's existing size. Further increase would destroy the character and feel. Further, unsure what is meant by 'small businesses'.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	The introduction of homes and businesses in the vicinity of existing and permitted renewable services would be unacceptable as it mixes too many potentially conflicting/impacting developments.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	The road network wouldn't support this "new town"
AF, AG, AP	Reject	This is not needed, the best masterplan is to forget it altogether
AF, AG, AP	Reject	This is totally inappropriate for an undeveloped green field site & this proposal is tantamount to giving CRE to do whatever they want to, which is totally unreasonable & unrealistic.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	This would totally change Chelveston. Strongly opposed to any further developments.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Too big for this community - where would the children go to school? Would increase through traffic considerably.

NDP-S020b	CRE	Additional employment and housing opportunities
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Too large, and in a rural area and would increase traffic considerably.
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Way to big
AF, AG, AP	Reject	Would swamp the existing Chelston Rise
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	I am not convinced that residents wishes will be duly considered
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Airfield to busy
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Airfield too busy
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Any employment can be from existing villages No confidence in existing owners - would ignore local concerns as shown by installation of the wind turbines
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Enough traffic, enough on the site
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Forget renewable
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Has never been discussed prior to village developmnt plan. Owners cannot be trusted.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Infringing on to the airfield
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Irrelevant war time detail and unreasonable proposal.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	It does not look like a brownfield site when I walk there. Selected sympathetic development is reasonable but this is too much from a company that has only profit in mind and no consideration for the local community.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	No benefit to residents
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	No benefit to residents
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	No more houses in this area are desired. As for employment this will only be for a few and they will be from outside the village
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Over development. Worried about road structure - extra traffic on narrow country roads
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Roads through the village are reaching saturation point already at various times of t housing with roads as they are now would just be absolute chaos.he day. Mass

NDP-S020b	CRE	Additional employment and housing opportunities
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Too many lorries and movement of traffic
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	The shop and the Doctors will be promised as a sweetener to get support. But as usual they will never be built.
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Too big already
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Too many houses at this rate Chelveston will become a town
AH, AJ, AL, AN, AX, RA	Reject	Too much development along this strip, again don't want village becoming a town, don't agree with this and don't agree with who wants to build
AQ, AW	Reject	What does this mean?
AQ, AW	Reject	Again - need school, doctors improved road
AQ, AW	Reject	Haven't the proprietors already done enough damage to the neighbouring villages and countryside.
AQ, AW	Reject	This is more than I would want
AQ, AW	Reject	Bad
AQ, AW	Reject	Do not want any further development on airfield site
AQ, AW	Reject	Don't want any further development on airfield site
AQ, AW	Reject	Insufficient information
AQ, AW	Reject	Insufficient information- if they cannot be bothered to put a plan together why should we be bothered to give them permission
AQ, AW	Reject	Negative impact on surrounding villages due to traffic and extra people
AQ, AW	Reject	Ruining the village aspect. Overall development dramatically altering the feel of the village
AQ, AW	Reject	I think this group has done enough damage to the village already
AQ, AW	Reject	There is no need for this sort of expansion in a village. I don't think this village is ready for this sort of growth with extra traffic etc.. If we don't stop this how much more will be added.
AQ, AW	Reject	The development at Chelveston airfield will be never ending if this is built. Too much traffic already interrupting the open countryside. Too big
AQ, AW	Reject	Site is not brownfield. Proposal is too great a scale in context of existing developments. Local roads are not suited to such large scale developments. Proximity of turbines and biomass should also be considered
AQ, AW	Reject	The expansion of Caldecott is sufficient to date and for the next 20 years. To include this would have an affect on the road traffic that would mean country lanes to be widened to take excess traffic including that from NDP-S019A. Possibly to be reconciled in 20 years for next neighbourhood plan

NDP-S020b	CRE	Additional employment and housing opportunities
AQ, AW	Reject	The plan does not state the number of dwellings. I treat the company with suspicion and wonder how many people do or will employ locally,
AQ, AW	Reject	This application is too large for the area. Instead of increasing the sense of community it would alter the village and make it into a town with no facilities.
AQ, AW	Reject	This development on top of other plans would totally change the character of the village
AQ, AW	Reject	This is too imposing on surrounding areas, it is intrusive and would take up green space and will deplete wildlife.
AQ, AW	Reject	To big of an area will change the countryside for the worse.
AQ, AW	Reject	To large a development for the area.
AQ, AW	Reject	Unknown quantity
AR, AT	Reject	A huge development which would increase traffic and spoil the whole feel of the community.
AR, AT	Reject	Another money making scheme out of cheap agricultural land. This is a rural area not a housing estate
AR, AT	Reject	In my opinion CRE has ruined this village, enough is enough
AR, AT	Reject	Existing roads would not cope with more expansion
AR, AT	Reject	Can not support an area for development without details of what and density
AR, AT	Reject	Don't want to live in large residential area. Have chosen to live here because I want to live in a quiet village and happy to travel to shops/surgery etc. If I had wanted such local services/infrastructure I would have chosen to live in a more built up area. The increase in dwellings would totally change the character of the area and create a small town. Traffic would considerably increase on roads not built for large volumes of traffic and make the roads more dangerous.
AR, AT	Reject	I don't want a shop near. I am happy to live without any local services
AR, AT	Reject	Insufficient detail
AR, AT	Reject	No detail. This is a very large area and I would like to know more detailed proposal before even considering this potentially very large development
AR, AT	Reject	Not enough information given by the developer. CRE has been vague about detail in the past and I feel the same about this proposition. This is a big area and could accommodate many dwellings so I fear 'the worst' from CRE.
AR, AT	Reject	Not required . would spoil the villages especially so to increased traffic.
AR, AT	Reject	Over development
AR, AT	Reject	No more changes, there has been enough already with the turbines, solar panels, etc
AR, AT	Reject	There is no need for this, there is raunds, rushden and higham close by for employment.
AR, AT	Reject	This huge development would have an adverse effect on both Chelston Rise and Caldecott. Traffic levels would be hugely increased.

NDP-S020b	CRE	Additional employment and housing opportunities
AR, AT	Reject	This will be massively intrusive for caldicott & will not provide positive benefits. traffic will be horrendous in caldicott.
AR, AT	Reject	Totally unsuitable. No consultation, very vague.
AU	Reject	Again I feel this would spoil the landscape and the friendly community atmosphere which already exists.
AU	Reject	Again please reject. Residents do not wish to live next to an industrial park.
AU	Reject	I do not want buildings on that field. We have wind turbines which we did not want. Any more buildings will ruin the clear views everyone here loves. No buildings at all. Do not ruin our views - that's why we love this place - my animals are consistently near that field & I don't want to have to fear for their safety
AU	Reject	Former farm land then temporarily used as airfield in times of emergency, to give open planning permission for this parcel of land without knowing exactly what is planned can lead to a small town built.
AU	Reject	Further housing is not needed. If all proposals were to be improved the area would be turning into a small town. People live here because it is quiet. Development not needed. Huge impact on local environment, increased congestion, major disruption to area.
AU	Reject	I can't see why we need such a big development in a rural location. The wind turbines and bio mass surely would be far too close to any housing built in this area.
AU	Reject	I have only been here a year and feel we have a strengthened sense of community. Where is the evidence to their proposal, this is a village, we don't want a town!!! Again boils down to greed.
AU	Reject	I live in a village not a town It would be unsightly & not needed
AU	Reject	I moved to the country to get away from houses filled with unsightly people. No need for that much development
AU	Reject	It was never for residential it was always for industry
AU	Reject	It was never meant to be for housing, it was always meant to be industrial. what has housing got to do with renewable energy?
AU	Reject	It would destroy the view of the land
AU	Reject	It would totally spoil the quiet and peaceful area around Chelston Rise and the village of Yelden. No way!!
AU	Reject	Loss of countryside, excess traffic.
AU	Reject	Love the countryside as it is, too much going to building sites.
AU	Reject	Moved for village feel from living in a town, we don't want the countryside ruining.
AU	Reject	No amenities and too close to windfarm and waste site
AU	Reject	No more houses especially next to windfarm & waste plant
AU	Reject	Not discussed at residents meeting. Further housing is not wanted.
AU	Reject	On edge of village boundary so not in keeping with any planned development. Would turn a rural area into an Industrial Estate

NDP-S020b	CRE	Additional employment and housing opportunities
AU	Reject	Plenty of opportunities within local towns without spoiling landscape. Military base closed for many years which is why we chose to live here
AU	Reject	Plenty of opportunities within local towns without spoiling landscape. Military base closed for many years which is why we chose to live here - away from rat race
AU	Reject	There is too much traffic already & the lorries do not stick to a speed limit. More lorries would only make things worse.
AU	Reject	This area was used in a world war and a cold war. Why try and use a rural area with B roads and more people and try to create a 'new town'
AU	Reject	This is not renewable energy and would also increase traffic.
AU	Reject	This proposition would ruin the whole parish and that of yelden as the area would feel like a small town. How can this be even considered so close to the wind turbines?
AU	Reject	This should be classified as a green field site since it has been used as farm land, historically. Accomodation in the past fulfilled a national need in the time of war and could not have been intended to create an area for overdevelopment. A small town could be built without allowing for objections.
AU	Reject	This site is already developed enough!
AU	Reject	To close to wind mills
AU	Reject	Too many
AU	Reject	Totally unacceptable, far too big, it will ruin every ones way of life,
AU	Reject	We do not need a housing estate
AU	Reject	We do not need this size of development. We are close enough to the other towns so we do not need a surgery etc
AU	Reject	We moved away to here to escape industrial parks these should be built on brown field sites. there is not the roads to support an industrial site
AQ, AW	No Opinion	How can I comment on this "proposal"? It is a good idea to have a designated area for renewable energy equipment. But much more information is needed before any real assessment can be made
AQ, AW	No Opinion	More information needed before I can make an informed decision.